## General Description of Changes to Module 1 1. Changes to question numbers 2. Expanded requirements in expectations

				PrimusGFS v3.2 Summ	ary of Changes		
Section Management System		v3.1 Question Is there a documented food safety policy detailing the company's commitment to food safety?	V3.1 Expectations the documented point should have a clear statement and detailed objectives of the company's commitment to meet the flood safety needs of its products.	Total compliance (5 ponts): There should be a dated, signed (by senior management) documented too stately only object statement reflecting the organization's orgaing commitment to providing a safe product. The policy should include statements and objectives of the company's commitment to food safety, following food safety laws, athering to industry food safety best practices and a process of confinual improvement. Everyone in the company should understand the food safety policy and be aware of their trole in ensuring that it is met (e.g. by training, communicating organizational dart, eds.). The policy should be posted in a public area and in the language understood by the workers. The policy may lake the form of a "mission statement" provided it meets the requirements detailed above.	v3.2 Question No Change in v3.2	The documented policy should include scale statement and detailed objectives of the company's commitment to loci safely, promoting a protective and committee tool company should include scale to the statement of the scale scal	Total compliance (5 points): There should be a Gen downlend food safety policy statement and detailed depictures reflecting the company's engoing commitment to meet the food safety needs of as products that is dated commitment food adely, promoting a protectime and committee food safety needs of the products that is a another the state of
Management System		Is there an organizational chart showing al management and workers who are involved in food safety related activities and documentation (to descriptions) dealing their food safety responsibilities?	The documented organizational dihari should show positions and reporting structure workers whose activities affect too slately within the company. This document should also deall job handloss and responsibilities related to hood safety. Subtable atternates should be indicated in case someone can not perform the assigned exponsibilities at certain moment. Document should be current and accurate.	Total compliance (10 points): There should be an organizational chart showing positions and reporting structure diverkers whose achieves affect todo safety with the company. Chart is dated to indicate it is correct and current. Job functions and reportabilities related to todo safety should also be documented. Suitable adternates should be indicated or reference document indicating this information. For very small companies, an individual worker mary cover mary jobs. Minor deficiency (7 points) if: *ingelinkolated instance(s) of enrors or omissions on the organizational structure chart or reponsibilities. * A document is ind clated. Many deficiency (3 points) if: *Nameous instances of enrors or omissions on the organizational structure chart or exponsibilities. * Nameous instances of enrors or orisisons on the organizational structure chart or exponsibilities. * Nameous instances of enrors or orisisons on the organizational structure chart or exponsibilities. * Nameous instances of enrors or orisions on the organizational structure chart or exponsibilities. * Nameous instances of enrors or orisional structure chart or exponsibilities. * No compliance (0 points) if: * Nameous on the organizational structure chart or responsibilities. * No process organizational structure chart or responsibilities.	No Change in v3.2	The organizational dhart should show positions and reporting structure of workers whose achieter affect food safely within the company. This document should also detail job functions and responsibilities related to food safety. Suitable alternates about de indicated in case somence can to perform the assigned megonabilities doctain moment. Document should be signed and dated by management to indicated it is current and accurate.	Total compliance (10 points): There should be an organizational chart showing positions and reporting structure of indicate it is correct and current. Job functions and responsibilities related to food safety should also be documented. Sublished Berthanks should be indicated or reference document functional and be to documented. Sublished Berthanks should be indicated or reference document functions (10 points). For very small comparises, an individual worker may cover many jobs. Minor dedicinery (7 points) if. • Singehexised instance(s) of errors or omissions on the organizational structure chart or responsibilities. • A document is not dated and/or signed. Major dedicinery (3 points) if. • Namerous instances of errors or omissions on the organizational structure chart or responsibilities. • A document is not dated and/or signed. Namerous instances of errors or omissions on the organizational structure chart or responsibilities. • Nore than one document is not dated and/or signed. Non-compliance (0 points) if: • Functionential errors on the organizational structure chart or responsibilities. • No organizational structure chart or responsibilities. • No organizational structure chart or responsibilities.
Management System	1.01.03	Is here is bod safety committee and are there ingo a food safety meetings with topics covered and attendees?	Meetings hut are either devolved to, or mention food attely issues, houd be recorded as proof docompany or ongoing commitment to food aafely (nnimum quarterly frequency). These meetings should detail Senior Management Involvement in the Food Safety program.	Total completions (§ pontis)). There should be an active local antiby committee, responsible for the attrading-imitateneous and development of the operations food safety prian. If an operation has a HACCP plan, the HACCP beam mary also low dark the food safety targots. The HACCP beam mary ingli the declarated to local safety or any line plan of a montime regular mediting, and minuteationized of meetings addressing food safety topics. These meetings ingli the declarated to local safety or any line plan of another meeting log- and minuteation of the safety of the safety topics. These meetings demonstrated Senior Management atmonAncore, minutes copied to management and, missing members are indicated on records. Meetings should occur at least quarterly during the season of operation. Where the operation has less than there months of records available there should be at least one meeting available for relevan- score minor deficiency. If no records acces non- complance. Minor deficiency (§ portis) if: - singelification is in the meeting logs e.g. not noting who was attending the meeting including Serior Management). - Only there meeting have occurred in the last 12 months (for an all year- round operation). - Two or less meetings have occurred in the last 12 months (for an all year- nond operation). - The ones meeting have necer meeting logs e.g. not noting who was attending the neceting local generation. - Two or less meetings have occurred in the last 12 months (for an all year- nond operation). - Food attely committee han on the sen related. - The company does not have logs of food safety meetings.	No Change in v3.2	Meetings hut are either devoted to or indude food safety topics, should be necoded as proof documpany organizes on to boo safety (minum quarterly frequency). These meetings should detail Senior Management involvement in the Food Safety program.	Total complannos (5 portis), Three should be an active toot askety committee, responsible for the strategic maintenance and development of the operation's food safety fail. If an operation has a HACCP /PC (2hen, the HACCPP/C team may also look after the food safety fails. In-spream methods should have names and signatures to include methods and safety fails in-spream methods should have names and signatures to include methods and safety of may be part of noder regular methods have names and signatures to include methods and safety of may be part of noder regular methods, e.g. a production method, so which and participation of the contrast team of the safety of
Management System		in place that shows what types of training are required for various job roles of specific workers, including who has been trained, when they were trained, which trainings they still need to take, and a training schedule?	The company has a system in place (e.g., training matrix) that shows what types of trainings are required for various, bio holes that discle food safely, not has been trained, when they were trained, which trainings they still need to take, and a training schedule.	Total compliance (5 points). The company has a system in place (e.g. training matrix) that shows what types of trainings are required for various job roles that direct food sales, who has been strained, when they were trained, which while the training program. The training records required under specific questions will be reviewed in the applicable module(s).		The company has a system in place (e.g., training matrix) that shows what types of trainings are required for various job roles that affect tood safety, who has been thinked, when they are trained, which trainings they all inced takes, and a training tood address the training the training the training the training the should be the training training the training the training the training training training the training the training the training training training the training the training the should be training the training the should be training to the should be training the should be training the should be training the should be training the should be training to should be training the should be should be training the should be should be training the should be should be	Total compliance (5 points). The company has a system in place (e.g. training matrix) that shows what types of trainings are required for various job roles that affect food safety, who has been trained, when hey were trained, which training they all inned to bak, and a training schedule. The question is related to be <b>organizational chart</b> and (j.s. tote descriptions). The training records required under specific questions will be reviewed in the applicable module(s).
Management System		Is here documented management werdkakin erwei ver hie errite food aktey management system at least statisty management system at heast werdkakking of rescueses, and are there records of changes made?	There should be written verification of the entire food safety meanagement system in plannes (intervise) (minimum every 12 months). There should be evidence that senior management is written and the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the envirous, supples, resonner training, works staffing levels, cuatomer requirement/sixpecifications, etc.). The review should education the requirements these is adjusted to changes and the changes and the should be documented. The documented review should meet any national or boost legislative requirements.	Total compliance (10 points): There is documented wetlification of the entire food adday management system at planned intervia (minimum 12 month mitravia) and reviewed by senior management to ensure its continuing subports, adjecuya, una distaki food adday insolution (12 month) services, supplies, personnel training, worker staffing levels, customer requirements/specifications, etc.): The documented arever whold meet any mational of colal legislative requirements. The review should include an analysis of the effectiveness of key food adday rogicinan and that they are implemented contextly. Baado on effectiveness, damages to the system, and are required, this should be indicated on the vertilection papervork along with correctly and determines the need for damages to the system. Mite Records of all vertiles: Explosible, HCCPV vertification papervork, along with corrective, and determines and for adday or separate and the performed as well. Both activities can be performed together or separately. Records of all vertiles and be indicated on the vertilection papervork along with corrective activities of parky and 32 effectives. Verticemain Audis 1 Exclamad. Audis, Braphotele, HCCPV vertification about the second second second sectives, researce for annending domation. 1 Exclamad. Audis, Braphotele, HCCPV vertification and the 1 Exclamad. Audis, Bra	No Change in v3.2 Point change 10 to 15	There should be written verification of the entire food safety management system at planned intervals (minum very)? Zarobina and there should be endired that serior management is involved in the review (c.g. signature, meeting minute). Io serior management is involved in the review (c.g. signature, meeting minute). Io serior supplies, personel training, woher staffing levels, customer requirements/specifications, eck.) and be utilizing and mattatimating a provide and committed food safety culture. The review should determine the need for changes and the changes made should be documented. The documented review should meet any national or local legislative requirements.	Total compliance (15 points): There is documented vertification of the entire flood safety management system at paintered intervals (inclusion): Tarontomic intervals) and reviewed by senior management (is a signature, meeting implicitly) be ensure its continuing suitability, adequary and effectiveness, and that they are continuing to support substitution in the source of the senior substitution of the entire flood safety cancels and comments flood safety catture. The documented review should meet any automal or local legislative requirements, adding levels, cattorials an analysis of the effectiveness (by blood safety programs and that they are implemented correctly. Based on effectiveness, changes to the system are documented. The review should show if the system is been grantered to make any dimension of the system is been grantered by the system are documented. The review should show if the system is been grantered system is been grantered system is been grantered system. When changes are the system is been grantered system in the view is well, bench schwarts along with corrective spaces (the system) is a state of the system is been grantered system. When changes are the system is been grantered system in the view of the system is a state of the system is been grantered and system is the state and system and any difference is a state and system and any difference is a state and system and any difference is a state and system is a state. Bench schwarts and reviews is not a mending documents, validations and changes should be available for review. Herework and schwarts (softmat) Herework and schwarts (softmat) Herework and schwarts is constraints and workers) and receals (where applicable) Herework and schwarts is constraints and workers) and receals (where applicable) Herework and schwarts is constraints and exchwarts and workers) and receals (where applicable) Herework and schwarts is constraints and schwarts is Herework and schwarts is constraints and Herework and schwarts is constrai

Management	1.01.06	Where specific industry guidelines or	There is a current copy of any specific industry guidelines for the	Total compliance (3 points). There is a current copy of any specific industry	No Chongo in v2 2	There is a current copy of any specific industry guidelines for the crop and/or	Total compliance (3 points). There is a current copy of any specific industry guidelines for the crop and/or product
System Control of		In the specific industry guidelines of best practices exits for the crops and/or product, does the operation have a current copy of the document?	There is a current upp of any specific nodary guodeness to use crop and/or product available for review.	padelines for the corp and/or product patiliable for review. Some exampleas multicle the Lady Ceen Markelong Agreement (LGMA), california Cantalouge Program. Tomato Good Apricultural Practices (T-AdP), Commodity Specific Food Satky Cadelines for the Production, Harvest, Fash-Harvest, and Processing Unit Operations of Herbs, etc. Not applicable if no specific industry guidelines or best practices exist for the crop and/or product.	No Change in v3.2	product, beat practice documents and required government regulations (e.g. US FDA FSMA, FSVP, etc.) available for review (electronic copies are accepted). The document control procedure should show how controlled documents are to be	sensibility for veriety electronic cognis are accorated). Some scamples include the Produce Safety Product Safety Seven Rhates including Forongs Supplet Intellations Programs. The Rhate Safety and Safety Rhate Rhate Production Rhates and Specific Productions Programs. Cantalouge Program, Tomato Good of Human and Ansame Frond (FGAP). Commodify Specific Prod Safety Guidelines for the Production, Harvest, Harvest, and Processing Unit Operations of Herbs, etc. Not applicable if no specific industry guidelines or best practices exist for the crop and/or product or activity.
Counterts and Records	1.02.01	procedure (including document control register/necord) describing how documents will be maintained, updated and replaced?	decuments are to be written, coded, approved, sused and updated and should also show how bookdeet events on documents are controlled. If using an electronic necord keeping system, the procedure should cover this.	when they wave issued and updated with the current revision status to help and/units and the courners. Society of the current camples include pre-recipital programs, SSDPs, SDPs, forms (record templates), ofter work instructions, new material and finished product specifications, etc. The document control procedure should specify: "Who is regronalise for document control (a.m. axing sure documents are updated and securely stored). "Who is regronalise to document control (a.m. axing sure documents are updated and securely stored)." I who changes are suptaked, and anothermistic are approved (e.g. how paper versions are approved, computer records password protected, etc.). "How changes are suptaked, and another degrade (e.g. disc) withow the instructure use of backled courners is prevented. "Register/record listing all documents used, when issued, when updated and current fewision status."	no charge in 13.2	written, coded, approved, issued and updated, and should site above have been versions of documents are controlled. Using an electronic record keeping system, the procedure should also detail how electronic records are managed to control access, how changes to records are contolled-including who has edit rights and how electronic records are secured; i.e. back up system.	with the current revision status to help workd using double documents. Document examples include pro-requisite specifications, etc. The document control procedure should specify: • Who is responsible for document control (Le. making sure documents are updated and securely stored). • Who is responsible for document control (Le. making sure documents are updated and securely stored). • How documents are updated, and amendments are sportwel (Le. g. have paper versions are approved, computer • How documents are updated, and amendments are sportwel (Le. g. have paper versions are approved, computer • How the instruction of the set of the control of the set of th
Control of Documents and Records		Is there a documented and ingremented productive that registere all incredit to be stored for a minimum period of 24 months (or graveler (legal)n regular(o) for at least the shelf life of the product if it is greater than 24 months?	hold safely related records alread be relatined for walling mycroses and in case them as helps insue, catobene quarties, etc. There should be a procedure in place and all monitoring and process control records should be held for a minimum of 24 months regardless of the production time's shell file. Any records required by law to be key for uper than 24 months and/uld be key for the legally mandated period. Any records pertaining to long life product about be key at least for the duration of the shell life of the product.	Anone detection (C potents) II: Single lickade stratuscopic) of process control records not being retained for the required length of time (one year unless length longer storage is required, or the product has a longer shalf life mail 2 months). Major deficiency (1 point) II: + Numerous Instances of process control records not being retained for the required length of time (one year unless length) longer storage is required, or the product has a longer shalf life than 12 months). Non-compliance (0 points) II: + Process control records are kept less than 12 months. + Process control records are kept for less than the required time mandated by + Process control records are kept for less than the shalf life of the product.	No Change in v3.2	There should be a written procedure in place requiring that all food stately related records (proluting must net insults) to relaterate the annihumm of A months, regardless of the product(s) sholl-file. Food safety records for product(s) with a shelf- be byood 24 months should be related for at least the shelf-file of the product. Organizations are expected to follow any regulatory or legal requirements for food addrey related record(s) relension beyond the 24 month minimum requirement stated here.	Teld correction (bit (bit)) get classifies) there should be a written procedure in rideore equiving that all flood dealty related correction (bit) get classifies) the tension of a non-time rung dealt of the producit(g) should like. For Good Apricultural Practices (GAP) growing area exercise include all culturation records for GAP barrent crew correction include harving meland correct. For God addy records for product in the analifies beyond 24 months should be networks (Ford addy restands) for the should be additional to the product of the should be networks (Ford addy restands) and the should be addition of the should be should be networks (GAP) growing area exercise include all culturations requirement should harve. Isselly (not part of the audit scoring), some records that might go to prove the long-term flood addy related harve. Isselly (not part of the audit scoring), some records that might go to prove the long-term flood addy related harve. Isselly (not part of the audit scoring), some records that might go to prove the long-term flood addy records and corrective actions. Major deficiency (1 point) If: - Single-Indicated Instance(i) of Indico the product of the product has a longer shell file than 24 months). Nanco-compliance (0 points) is: - Food addy related records are kept less than 24 months). - Food addy related records are kept less than 24 months. - Food addy related records are kept less than the required time and addit by law for a particular product. - Food addy related records are kept to less than the staff life of the product.
Control of Documenta and Records		Ale both pager and electronic load handle of the second second second second created, edited, stored and handled in a secure manner?		Tada compliance (g points) (and paper and destructs) (and safety programs, training proceeds, safety results, monitoring processing, solvies, programs, training proceeds, safety results, monitoring proceeds and tracebacks divolut be actived in a secure manner that deters that and provents tampering, when not in use. For example, the system might be the locking up of all manuals and recording logi at night in the QA Lab., when the operation is not unning. There might also be hules for atomic proceeds in a secure archive ingon, Whene complexity explains are accessed as losse QSP is reacted, set. There protection). The computerized records and documents should also be Tables protection). The computerized records and documents should also be Tables protection). The computerized records and documents should also be Tables protection). The computerized records and documents should also be Tables trains, document of changes are made to records after inflat entry. changes should be clearly regible and thacked, and no use of correction fluid, by whom and when (editing history). Records should be legible and accurate. FDA Electronic Records Guidance: https://www.accessdata.Ka.gov/iscrptistodhi/ddoca/dch/CFR/Bearch.clm/CFR Pariel 11 Minor deficiency (2 points) it. * Singleholded instance(s) of hard copy and/or computerized records not being updated generally. Harverous Instances of hard copy addec computerized records not being updated instances of computerized documents and records not being some process. * Namerous Instances of Computerized documents and records not being some process. * Namerous Instances of Computerized documents and records not being some process. * Namerous Instances of Computerized documents and records not being some process. * Namerous Instances of Computerized documents and records not being some process. * Namerous Instances of Computerized documents and records not being some process. * Namerous Instances of Computerized documents and records not being some p	Point chinge 3 to 5	No Change in v1.2	Tabli complement () poshpit) from pager and electronic food railedly (commentation that are good to the food railedly electronic approximation of the manager that detects the data greenests tempering when not in user for exempts when any the manager that detects that and prevents tempering when not in user for exempts that and hundred in a social meaner that detects that and greenests tempering when not in user. For exempts that soft any the might also be nuclein space and any training that CLL, when the operations in not running. There might also be nuclein space and manager that any tool of the social and the social social and the social social and the social social in the social social social and the social social social and the social social and the social social and the social social social and the social social social social social social and the social social social and the social
Control of Documents and Records	1.02.04	Are records maintained in an organized and retrievable manner?	All food safety records and documents should be stored in an organized mamer, to allow for quick trefleval of records. This will all in the detection of issues, the isolation of problems, and the isofinitation of trends where attention is needed. Records should be accessible, even if the operation is seasonal.	Total complance (2 points), 41 food safely records and documents should be maintained in a designated area where here; can be refleved readly. These records should be well organized, and should be accessible, even if the operation is seasonal. This will all in the detection of issues, the isolation of problems, and the identification of trends and reflevable of information. Binders or file system might be by date or together in a single file for a particular record. It may be that data is kept on computer.	No Change in v3.2	All food safety records and documents should be stored following an organized and consistent method, to allow for quick retrieval of records. This will aid in the detection of issues, the isolation of problems, and the identification of trends where attention is needed. Records hould be accessible, even if the operation is seasonal. Data on computers must be easily retrievable.	Total compliance (3 portis): All doot safely records and documents should be maintained in a designated area where they can be reinved readly. These records should be well cognized, and should be accessible, even if the operation is seasonal. This will all in the detection of issues, the isolation of problems, and the identification of threads and retiredue of idomnation. Bithere of the system is accessible. System might be by date or together in single file for a particular record. It may be that data is kept on computer. Data on computers must be easily retirevable.

Control of	1.02.05	Are all records and test results that can	Records and test results should be reviewed and signed off by a	Total compliance (3points): Records and test results should be reviewed and	Are all records an		Total compliance (5 points): Records and test results should be reviewed, signed off and dated by a qualified person
Documents and		have an impact on the food safety	designated person(s) responsible for the food safety program within	signed off by a designated person(s) responsible for the food safety program	test results that c		within 7 days. The verifier is independent of the individual completing the record(s), understands the purpose of the
Records		program reviewed and signed off by a	a reasonable timeframe. The sign off should not be done by the	within a reasonable timeframe. The sign off should not be done by the same	have an impact o the food safety		verification and understands what they need to review on the record(s) before they sign (i.e. PCQI qualification,
		person responsible for the food safety program?	same person who carried out the monitoring activities. If any issues are detected, corrective actions should be recorded.	person who carried out the monitoring activities. The review should include that the records are complete as applicable to the monitoring activity	the food safety program verified	review on the record(s) before they sign (i.e. evidence of training). If any issues are detected, corrective actions should be recorded.	evidence of training, etc.). Examples of records may include composting records, pre-harvest records, pre- operational inspections, anti-microbial, water turbidity, cleaning and sanitation, etc. If any issues are detected,
		program	are detected, corrective actions should be recorded.	performed, and if any issues were detected the corrective actions were	a gualified persor	y delected, corrective actions should be recorded.	corrective actions should be recorded. Ideally (not a scoring issue), there is a summary document of records
				addressed in a reasonable timeframe and recorded. Examples of monitoring	independent of th		reviewed, who reviewed (position) and who verified the summary document (position). Pesticide records are ideally
				records may include composting records, CCPs, sanitizer, pH, water turbidity	individual(s)		reviewed and signed off on as above, however, individual situations including small farming operations and contract
				cleaning and sanitation, etc.	completing the		spray services may impact how records are being reviewed and signed.
				Reference:	records?		Reference:
				https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/GuidanceRegulation/GuidanceDocument			https://www.fda.gov/food/food-safety-modernization-act-fsma/fsma-final-rule-produce-safety
				sRegulatoryInformation/UCM623178.pdf#page=132			https://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/FSMA/ucm253380.htm#guidance
				https://producesafetyalliance.cornell.edu/sites/producesafetyalliance.cornell.ed			https://producesafetyalliance.corneil.edu/sites/producesafetyalliance.corneil.edu/files/shared/documents/Records- Required-by-the-FSMA-PSR.pdf
				u/files/shared/documents/Records-Required-by-the-FSMA-PSR.pdf			Required-by-the-PSMA-PSR.pdf
				Minor deficiency (2 points) if:			Minor deficiency (3 points) if:
				Single/isolated instance(s) of records and/or test results not being reviewed			Single/isolated instance(s) of records and/or test results not being reviewed and signed off by a qualified person
				and signed off by the responsible person.			within 7 days (second signatory).
				<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of records and/or test results being signed off by</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of records and/or test results being signed off by a gualified person but there are issues</li> </ul>
				the responsible person but there are issues with the records that have not			with the records that have not been highlighted.
				been highlighted.			Major deficiency (1 point) if:
				Major deficiency (1 point) if:			Numerous instances of records and/or test results not being reviewed and signed off by a qualified person within 7
				Numerous instances of records and/or test results not being reviewed and			days (second signatory).
				signed off by the responsible person.  Numerous instances of the records and/or test results being signed off by			Numerous instances of the records and/or test results being signed off by a qualified person but there are issues
				<ul> <li>Numerous instances of the records and/or test results being signed off by the responsible person but there are issues with the records that have not</li> </ul>			with the records that have not been highlighted. Non-conformance (0 points) if:
				been highlighted.			Fundamental failure for records and/or test results to be reviewed and signed off by a gualified person within 7
				Non-conformance (0 points) if:			<ul> <li>Pundamenial failure for records and/or test results to be reviewed and signed on by a qualified person within 7 days (second signatory).</li> </ul>
				Systematic failure for records and/or test results to be reviewed and signed			Fundamental errors on the records and/or test results that are being signed off by a gualified person.
				off.			The verifier is not independent of the individual(s) completing the records.
		1		Systematic errors on the records and/or test results that are being signed off		1	
		1		by the responsible person.		1	
Procedures and	1.03.01	Is there a written and standardized	There should be a written document that describes how to create	Total compliance (5 points): There should be a written document that	No Change in v2	There should be a written document that describes how to create SOPs when	Total compliance (5 points): There should be a written document that describes how to write Standard Operating
Corrective	1.00.01	procedure for creating Standard	SOPs when required to cover any food safety related activities.	describes how to write Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for food safety	vio onalige in Va	required to cover any food safety related activities. SOPs should include a date and	Procedures (SOPs) for food safety activities related to good agricultural practices and/or good manufacturing
Actions		Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their	SOPs should include a date and document number or reference	activities related to good agricultural practices and/or good manufacturing		document number or reference code and detail what is to be done, how it is done,	practices that when followed, help prevent food safety hazards from occurring. SOPs should include a date and
		content?	code and require detailing what is to be done, how it is done, how	practices that when followed, help prevent food safety hazards from occurring.		how often, by whom, what recordings are required and any immediate corrective	document number or reference code and detail:
			often, by whom, what recordings are required and any immediate	SOPs should include a date and document number or reference code and		action to implement when deficiencies occur. There should be clear evidence that	what is to be done,
			corrective action to perform when deficiencies occur. There should	require detailing:		this system is being followed, based on SOPs reviewed.	<ul> <li>how it is done,</li> </ul>
			be clear evidence that this system is being followed, based on	what is to be done,			how often,
			SOPs reviewed.	how it is done,			by whom,
				how often,			what recordings are required and
				<ul> <li>by whom,</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>any immediate corrective action procedures to implement when there are any deficiencies.</li> </ul>
				what recordings are required and			These SOPs can be used for training and as reference tools. There should be clear evidence that this system is
				any corrective action procedures to perform when there are any deficiencies.			being followed, based on SOPs reviewed. SOPs should follow the organizations document control systems,
				These SOPs can be used for training and as reference tools. There should be			especially proper version management (see Control of Documents and Records).
				clear evidence that this system is being followed, based on SOPs reviewed.			
				SOPs should follow the organizations document control systems, especially			Minor deficiency (3 points) if:
				proper version management (see Control of Documents and Records).			Single/isolated instance(s) of errors and/or omissions within the document.     Single/isolated instance(s) of SOPs not having the required format.
				Mana defining an (2 - state) (6			<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of SOP's not having the required format.</li> </ul>
				Minor deficiency (3 points) if: • Single/isolated instance(s) of errors and/or omissions within the document.			Major deficiency (1 point) if:
				Single/isolated instance(s) of errors and or unissons which the document.     Single/isolated instance(s) of SOPs not having the required format.			Numerous instances of errors and omissions within the document.
				Major deficiency (1 point) if:			Numerous instances of SOPs not having the required format.
				Numerous instances of errors and omissions within the document			Numerous instances of oor a not naving the required format.
				Numerous instances of SOPs not having the required format.			Non-conformance (0 points) if:
				Non-conformance (0 points) if:			<ul> <li>A document describing how to write standard operating procedures has not been created.</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>A document describing how to write standard operating procedures has not</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Widespread evidence that SOPs are not written following the standardized procedure.</li> </ul>
				been created.			
				<ul> <li>Systematic evidence that SOPs are not written following the standardized</li> </ul>			
				procedure.			
					1		
Procedures and	1.03.02	Are the written procedures available to		Total conformance (5 points): The written procedures (SOPs) should be	No Change in v3	No Change in v3.2	Total conformance (5 points): The written procedures (SOPs) should be available to the users and other interested
Corrective		relevant users and is a master copy	1	available to the users and other interested parties. A master copy of all SOP's			parties involved in performing the activities described in the procedures . A master copy of all SOP's and associated
Actions		maintained in a central file?	1	and associated recording forms should be collated in order to create (an) SOP			recording forms should be assembled and stored as a reference. SOP's should be used by the relevant workers
			1	Manual(s), sometimes called a Quality Manual. SOP's should be used by the			(e.g., QA workers, production, sanitation, etc.). SOPs can be used for training and for reference. The number of
			1	relevant workers (e.g., QA workers, production, sanitation, etc.). SOPs can be			copies of SOPs depends on the size of the company and the types of processes involved. In the event of electronic
			1	used for training and for reference. The number of copies of SOPs depends on the size of the company and the types of processes involved. In the event of			SOP's, access should be allowed to all relevant workers, however, there should be controls in place to prevent unauthorized editing.
				electronic SOP's, access should be allowed to all relevant workers, however,			unaumonzeo eolung.
		1		there should be controls in place to prevent unauthorized editing. A master		1	
				copy of all SOPs and associated recording forms should be assembled and			
				stored as a reference.			
Procedures and	1.03.03	Is there a documented corrective action	The corrective action procedure should outline how the operation	Total compliance (5 points): The corrective action procedure should outline	Is there a	The corrective action procedure should outline how the operation manages corrective	Total compliance (5 points): There should be a documented corrective action procedure that outlines how the
Corrective		procedure that describes the required	manages corrective actions. Specifically, the determination of	how the operation manages corrective actions including preventative actions	documented	actions. Specifically, requiring the determination of cause, establishment of an action	company manages corrective actions including preventative actions and follow-up validation to ensure corrective
Actions		processes for handling non-	cause, establishment of an action plan(s) to address immediate	and follow-up validation to ensure corrective action taken has solved the	corrective action	plan(s) to address immediate issue(s) regarding non-conformance(s) (including any	action taken has solved the problem. Specific corrective actoin procedures and records are assessed in each
		conformances affecting food safety?	issue(s) regarding non-conformance(s) (including any actions taken	problem. Records of the corrective action activities and their follow-up should	procedure that	actions taken regarding affected product), corrective actions taken, the development	module. The procedure should require that records of the corrective action activities and their follow-up are
		1	regarding affected product), corrective actions taken and the	be kept on file (omission of corrective actions is scored under specific	describes the bar	c of preventive actions to help avoid future occurrences and validation of corrective	completed using the same format with the required information (see below) detailed.
		1	development of preventive actions to help avoid future occurrences.	questions in later modules).	requirements for	action. Procedure should require that records of the corrective action activities and	Corrective action procedure should include:
		1	Records of the corrective action activities and their follow-up should	Corrective action procedure should include:	handling all non-	their follow-up are completed using the same format with the required information detailed. Specific corrective action procedures and records are assessed in each	the review of the non-conformance
		1	be kept on file.	the review of the non-conformance     the determination of the cause(s)	conformances affecting food		the determination of the cause(s)
		1		the determination of the cause(s)     the establishment of an action plan to address such non-conformances and	affecting food safety?	module.	the establishment of an action plan to address such non-conformances and prevent future occurrences (preventive action plan)
				<ul> <li>the establishment of an action plan to address such non-conformances and prevent future occurrences (preventive action plan)</li> </ul>	salety r		action plan)  the implementation of corrective actions and preventive actions
				the implementation of corrective actions and preventive actions			the implementation of corrective actions and preventive actions     the follow-up validation to ensure actions taken have solved the problem (e.g. root cause summary, evidence of
				the follow-up validation to ensure actions taken have solved the problem			the follow-up validation to ensure actions taken have solved the problem (e.g. root cause summary, evidence of the solution)
				and the second of the second descendent and the second die probent			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				Auditees may consider the option of using root cause analysis method when			Auditees may consider the option of using root cause analysis method when trying to determine the cause of a non-
				trying to determine the cause of a non-conformance or trend of non-			conformance or trend of non-conformances.
			1	conformances.	1		

Name         No         Ansatz         No         A								
<ul> <li>Norther Marchannel M</li></ul>	external		how internal audits are to be performed at the operations, including frequency and covering all processes impacting food safety and the related documents		<ul> <li>An additional operation of the standard and any the standard standard and standard standard standards and standards</li></ul>	No Change in v3.2	No Change in v3 2	protectively ensure safe food products. The Internal and Bryonodure thould include the checklist used for the internal and/s, terms and set, and includes on the province/or producing terms below devices the internal and/s, terms and set, and includes on the province/or producing terms below devices the internal and/s, the internal and/s, terms and the internal and/s terms and the internal and/s terms and the internal and/s. The internal and/s terms and the internal and/s terms and the internal and/s terms and the internal and/s. The internal and terms and terms and the internal and terms and
And       Markage grant y method       Markag grant y method       Markage grant y metho	Internal and	1.04.02	Are there written precedures for	Miditan presedures for bandling maulatery inspections	Systematic failure to record self-audits properly.	No Change In 12 C	Written presedures for bandling, food onfety related regulatory in an effort	
ether         image: state s	external inspections		handling regulatory inspections?	workers to be aware of how to handle the inspection appropriately. For example, documenting the inspector screentials, contact information to facilitate open actions after the inspection, ensuring that the inspector is always accomparised, identified meeting space, rules on taking samples and photographs, how to follow-up after the inspection, etc.	Inspections are available for workers to follow when regulatory agencies inspect the operation. Regulatory agencies could be Health Departments. State enforcement organizations, etc. (e.g., US: USDA/FDA. Canada: CPIA, Chile: Ministerio de Aquioltura/SGA, Queccio: SACARPA, The procedures should include at a minimum, rules for always accompanying inspections, inués on taking amples and taking photographs. Nov to follow-up diret the inspection, corrective actions requirements, etc. This policy should be communicated to key personnel including the receptionists; ledi staff and		valiable for vordera to follow when regulatory agencies reject the operation. Regulatory agences out to level Toward Development organizations, etc. (eg., US. USDAFDA, Canada, CFIA, Chie: Mineterio de Apricultura/SAG, Macco: SAGARM, The procedures bottlo chade at a minimum, railes of always accompanying impections, derified meeting apoco, rules on taking samples and taking photographs. Noto following all the negocidin, consortie action than photographs, thos following all the negocidin, consortie action than photographs, thos following all the negocidin, consortie action than photographs. The following and the negocidin, consortie action than photographs, thos following all the negocidin, consortie action that photographs, thos following all the negocidin, consortie action that photographs, the bottenid meeting apoch and the negocidin consorties and the negocidinatis, field/part actions and creative taccess to documents that have been covered by these laws.	for workers to follow when regulatory agencies impect the operation. Regulatory agencies could be Health Departments, State enforcement organizations, etc. (e.g., 12: USDAFDA, Canada CFR, Chite. Ministerio de Agricultura/SAC, Mexico: SACARPA). The procedures should include at a minimum, rules for always accompanying impections. Jectificat Reselling states, and the state of the state of the state of the impection of the state reselling state of the state of the state of the state of the impection of the state reselling state. The procedures and their photographic, how to follow-at the the agencians, concrete action requirements, etc. This policy should be communicated to key personnel including the experisonists. Reflicit workers and creative supervisions. Impection policies must not contravere bloeterorism takes and restrict access to documents that have been covered by these laws.
Here         A market manual memory         A market memory	external inspections		inspections and/or contracted inspections, company responses and corrective actions, if any?	noted have been responded to (date of response, action taken, and signature). Inspections include regulatory (e.g., Federal and State) and third-party audits.			have been responded to (date of response, action taken, and signature). Inspections include regulatory (e.g., Federal and State) and third-party audits.	been responded to (date of response, action taken, and signature of responsible person (if applicable)), hispections include regulatory (e.g., Federal and Station) and htrip-gray tay table. This question is not applicable (three have been no regulatory or thrid-party inspections in the past year. Evidence of corrective actions (and their follow-up) is important; since there are legal implicables of a corrective awared of an issue and cannot prove that it has taken corrective actions and later has a serious incident which could have been prevented.
external pipedicas       No       In there a written procedure for handling       In the a written procedure for handling       In the a written procedure	edemai inspections		acouracy well-factor procedures for measuring and monitoring devices used in the operations that are related to the safety of the product?	food safety and/or verification of bale requirements (e.g., for weight or volume) should be interified (e.g. caling), order, tills) and SDPs should be available. Scales/weight or volume measuring devices blood have verified on discoursy and variance and equilarly to should describe the frequency of testing, the testing method and the acceptate merge of variation. Corrective actions should be detailed when applicable. Legal requirements, manufacturer recommendations, ber practice and experience of equipment drift help is determines the frequency.	catalog, notater, itsi) and there are documented procedures for the catileration for measuring and monitoring devices used in the operation. Regular calibration ensures correct and accurate operation. Equipment used for measuring and monitoring processes related to host allevily and/or welf-tation measuring devices though have welf-cation of accuracy and/or catibration measuring devices should have welf-cation of accuracy and/or catibration measuring devices whould have welf-cation of accuracy and/or catibration regularity to manue correct and accurate operation where relevant to food antery. For GAP, this includes equipment used for measuring and monitoring explorment, pesticide measuring equipment (edg. scicke), ORP and pth moters, and other equipment related to the safety of the product. For GAP, this includes equipment used for measuring and monitoring systems, thermometers, metal detectors, ORP meets, how meters and pth Equipment is abilitated regularity between correct and accurate operation. Catiloation procedures should describe the frequency of testing, the testing method and the accuration. Proceedings and esternal (where equipment dista blance) addependation biologics and esternal wave, or an outside specialat or prod setty persistion and esternal (where equipment in shift) calibrations should be cocumented and on the company checks the equipment in the table of beams and the parameters, method and the accurate to the measures, or an estation equipment in the table of beams the the requestions and esternal (where the explorement in the log determine the requestion to bases an even distribution of fertilizer or crop ropetection product. If does however, it is important to choose a pace that is easy to maintain and duplicate		ahoude besintified (a., catalog, roster, its) and SOPs should be available. Solderkeight or volume measuing device (e.g. 6 profetical emanutement) should have verification of accuracy and/or calibration regularly to ensure correct and accurate operation. Under relevant to foot subsyce, Calibration procedures should variation. Corrective actions should be detailed when applicable. Legal requirements. manufacturer recommendations, best practice and experience of equipment drift help to determine the frequency.	documentel procedurels for the calibration for measuring and monitoring devices used in the operator. Regular calibration ensures correct and accurate operation. Scielewishing in volume measuring devices should have verification of accuracy and/or calibration regulary to ensure correct and accurate operation. New relevant to food adely. For GAP, his covers laters such as fertilizer and pesticide application equipment; pesticide measuring explorment equipment (e.g. sprayers), and corresponding measuring explorement (pesticide measuring explorment equipment (e.g. sprayers), and corresponding measuring explorement (e.g. scales), corposit. Pesticides exploration explorement (e.g. sprayers), and corresponding measuring explorement (e.g. scales), corposit. Pesticides exploration produces should describe frequency, method and be acceptable negre of variation (view reglicable). Legal describes the should describe frequency method and be acceptable negre of variation (view reglicable). Legal describes the should describe frequency measuring and monitoring processes (handheld and automated) related to food adley e.g. ATP beating systems, hermometers, scales for verying angredents (e.g. in juice operation), and addecicot. Definition of the sacesptable range of variation (procedures) takes are subled blood adley to a ATP beating method and the acceptable range of variation (procedures) should describe the frequency of testing, the testing method and the acceptable range of variation procedures should describe the feature of the stating method and the acceptable range of variation procedures should describe the feature of the stating method and the acceptable range of variation procedures should describe the feature of the stating method and the acceptable range of variation procedures should describe the feature of the stating method and the acceptable range of variation procedures should describe the feature of the stating method and the acceptable range of variation. Procedures should describe the describe dat on office.
Items/product on hold and rejected items? documented procedure for handling on hold	external		verification records maintained and are they consistent with the requirements outlined in the SOP(s) for instruments	for all applicable equipment and show frequency of testing, the testing method and the acceptable range of variation. Corrective	should be available for all applicable equipment and show frequency of testing, the testing method and the acceptable range of variation. Corrective	No Change in v3.2	equipment and should consider at least equipment identification, date, frequency of testing, testing method, result (variation), and corrective actions. Both internal (where the company checks the equipment for themselves) and external (where equipment is sent away, or an outside specialist company comes on site and checks the eoutoment in situ) calibrations should be documented and on the Proof of	equipment and should consider at least equipment identification, date, frequency of testing, testing methicit, result (variation), and concretive actions. Both internal (where the company checks the equipment for themselves) and external (where equipment is sent away, or an outside specialist company comes on site and checks the equipment in situ) calibrations should be documented and on file. Proof of calibration includes exocuts, invoices and on
							calibration includes records, involces and on machines labels. Where an external service is used, procedures, licenses and/or certifications are acceptable.	

Delas (	4.05.55	Are there records of the handling of on		Table completes (Facility): December of "	4.05.01	Na Ohanau i a i	No Observation O	Tatal seconding of (Conducts) Depends of items alread as ite
Release of items/product	1.05.02	Are there records of the handling of on hold and rejected items kept on file?		Total compliance (5 points). Records of terms placed on hold or rejected (e.g. an on hold/specification log) should be available for review should be kept to provide information about of any term (raw materials, packaging, work in progress, finished product, etc.) that is rejected or put on hold. Records should show date when the term was placed on hold/brejected, the reason for being on hold/rejected, the name of the person whop ut the product on hold and any other actions taken to ensure that affected product is not commigned with other goods in usion a wigh that there disposition is not clear. Authorized personnel should sign (with date and time) a "release" for any item placed on hold or rejected, dating adcins taken or disposition, new clear. Namo there applicable is must be available there advalable for products placed on hold or rejected, budd be manitimated and valable for products placed on hold or rejected, budd be manitimated and valable for products placed on hold or rejected, budd be maintained and valable for there we have applicable. When enquired by law, centificables of destruction should be kept tor review.	1.05.04	No Change in v3.2	No Unange in V3.2	Total compliance (5 points). Reacrds of terms placed on hold or rejected (e.g. an on hold/disposition log) should be available for review and houd be keep to provide information about any line (income materials, packaging, work in progress, finished product, etc.) that is rejected or put on hold. Records should show date when the item was placed on hold/epiceted, amount of provide al formation consor for heing on hold/epicetd, the name of the person whole put the product on hold and any other actions taken to ensure that affected product is not committinged with other goods in such a way that the idepacitoria in other actions taken to ensure that affected product is not committinged with other goods in such a way that the idepacitoria is not ease. Nuclear to a such a such and then a "release" for any item placed on hold or rejected, detailing actions taken e.g. disposition, even, fixed bank. Illed available for review where applicable. Where required by law, certificates of destruction should be kept for review.
Release of		Is there a documented product release			1.05.01	No Change in v3.2	No Change in v3.2	No Change in v3.2
items/product Release of items/product	1.05.04	procedure available? Are there records of product releases kept on file?		Total comparance (E-points) Recentle showing product interaces should be insulable for investment Portoci relaxes and the source of the source of the the product is approved for showing of the source of the source of the instease of a product that has been priced on hold ). Antiforcid personnel should sign a "vielease" for product. Sign of may be part of harvest record, sill of lading, etc. Recent should be available demonstrating the sign of for the "vielease" of al product shipped. WA for organization's that only have autorhy one the growing activities and openation(), and not be harvesting activities.	1.05.02	No Change in v3.2	No Change in v3.2	Teld compliance (5 points) becards allowing solution relations and back the constituent with the Relations Proceeding (55:01) statistical back on invest. Photocic relations records are needed to document with the Relations Reported for alloperant of harvest (they do not indicate the relations ended to document when the product support performant of the relation of the relation should be available demonstrating the sign off for the release" of all product shipped. WA for organizations that only have authority over the growing activities and operation(s), and not the harvesting activities.
Release of items/product		Is them a documented procedare for dealing with customer and buyer food safety comparished the second state of the execution and company responses, including corrective actions?	Ihere should be a documented procedure detailing how to hundle tool safely release companies, nejections and feedback. The procedure should require the recording to indude (where applicable): - Dast Time of complainthrejection/Redback. - Post of the state of the state of the state - Post of the state of the state of the state - Monuter in the state of the state of the state - Monuter of product was purchased. - Manuer of product was purchased. - Manuer of complainthrejection/Redback. - Consolve autions taken to prevent resourcements. - Where appropriate, a then analysis of food safety feedback should be performed to assist with the development of corrective actions.	If a corporate officialises separament or other parties handle the incoming food safety release comparism, then these should be communicated to relevant personnel. When the network of the should be communicated to when the audited calmis to have received no complainta/rejections, the auditor should verify that a complaint recording system is in place and has the necessary elements listed above.		No Change in v3.2	There should be a documented procedure detailing how to handle food safety related complaints, rejection and feedback. The procedure should require the recording to include (where applicable): - DataTime of complaintigue feedback. - Units and the complaintigue feedback. - Donald detaintigue, - Monated processing - Monated processing - Monated processing - Nature of complaintigue tools - Corrective actions (including details of cause if fraom) - A tend analysis of food safety feedback, should be performed to assist with the development of corrective actions.	performed to assist with the development of corrective actions. Where a corporate office/assist department or other parties handle the incoming food safety related complaints, the operation is still required to have a documented procedure including how complaints/beddeding including including including action with the ser managed intensity (e.g. investigation, into cause, corrective action, communication, etc.). Where the audies and mains have neared and on complaints/beddeding. The auditor should verify that a complaint tecording system is in takes and has the necessary elements listed above. Minor Deficiency (f. controls) if - Singletinolated instances) of consistons and incorrect data in the records including corrective actions. - More than 10 complaints/relections received, but no trend analysis or review carried out.
Supplier Monitoring/ Control	1.06.01	Is there a list of approved suppliers and service providers?	There should be a list of approved suppliers and service provident. All incoming products, ingredients, materials (including packaging) and services that relate to food safety about be sourced from approved entities, there exceptions are made (e.g., matel conditions), approval from management should be justified and documented.	Total compliance (5 points). There is a list of approved suppliers of materials and services. All incoming agricultural larguest, ingredients, products, materials (including packagings) and services that relate to loo safety (e.g., contract on protection operages), past control, chemical auppliers, where and waste services) are purchased from <i>Kior</i> powderd by approved suppliers. Where exceptions are materials (e.g., market conditors, emergency situations), approval from management is justified and documented. Minor deficiency (3 points) if: - Tanglehiotadie materials) if controls or consistons in the records. - Tanglehiotadie materials (e.g., market conditors, emergency autors), approval from management approval. Major deficiency (1 point), if: - Numerous instances of partors) are exceptions made (i.e. not from list approved suppliers) whout management approval. Numerous instances of partors) are exceptions made (i.e. not from list approved suppliers) whout management approval. Num-compliance (5 points) if: - There is no list of approved suppliers but purchasing exceptions to it is the norm.		is there a list of approved suppliers and service providers including (ustification for use (temporary) suppliers or providers? Point change 5 to 10	There should be a list of approved suppliers and service providers. All incoming products, ingredient, materials (including) primary packaging) and services that relate to food safety should be sourced from approved entities. Where exceptions are made (e.g., market confidence), approved in them management should be justified and documented as per procedure (1.06.01).	Total compliance (10 points). There is a list of approved suppliers of materials and services. All incoming agricultural inpub, ingredients products, materialitic including primary packaging and services and that relate to lood safety (e.g., contract corp protection spravers, peet control, chemical suppliers, water and waste utilities, RPC reful, transport, discritority testing, maintenance and analisation services are particulated from XB rowled by sportwork applications. Where escoptions are made (e.g., market conditions, emergency statistics), approval from management is justified and documented as per procedure (1.06.01).
Supplier Monitoring/ Control	1.06.02	Are there current written food safety related specifications for all incoming products, ingredients, materials (including primary packaging), services provided on-site, and outsourced services?			1.06.03	No Change in v3.2 Point change 5 to 10	No Change in v3.2	No Change In v3.2
Supplier Water Control	1.06.03	In there a written procedure detailing how supplies and even providers are evaluated, approved, and include the enveloped and actives including monitoring? <i>Note that supply chain prevention controls and supply-chain prevention controls and supply-chain monitoring? Note that supply chain prevention controls are also mentioned in Module 7.</i>	The procedure for evaluation, approval and on-page writetation, relucing monitoring of supplies, on-alte service providers and outsourced service providers should include the includances to be considered for chains making (including food alter) hazards), exceptions and the elements the providers should comply with to make sure they meet the defined apportances. This procedure and sure they meet the defined apportances. This procedure and envice providers. The procedure and unapported approved, and methods for supporting supplies and envice provides. The procedure and alto detail what is needed (minimum requirements) in the case of vorking with a supplier in an emergency situation that has not yet been approved.	Total compliance (E points). There is a written procedure detailing how services providers and suppliers (e), arw materials, propation materials, Retilizers, crop protection products, lignedents, processing adds, primary packaging terms) are evaluated, approved at molitored. The procedure for evaluation, approval and chapter and another providers should chapter and evaluation of the additional services providers should chapter and material service providers and under the providers should comply with to make sure they meet the defined specifications. This proceedure should include monitoring requirements in order to remain agroved, and methods for suspending and un-agroroing suppliers and service providers. The procedure should also detail what is needed (minimum requirementic) in the cased oviding with a supplier in a merginary situation for the source of the service should also detail what is needed (minimum requirements) in the cased oviding with a supplier in a merginary situation supported, and metage and un-agroroing suppliers and service providers. The procedure should also detail what is needed in this procedure, should ensure require the should detail the following where relevant: - Letters of guarantite - Letters of guarantite - Methods of revealung approved suppliers and service providers and status (including approved suppliers and service providers - Methods of revealung approved suppliers and service providers - Methods of revealung approved suppliers and service providers - Methods of revealung approved suppliers and service providers (including - Methods of revealung approved suppliers and service providers performance and status (including removal or approved suppliers and service providers - Methods of revealung approved suppliers and service providers performance and status (including removal or approved status) - To act the approx elements of the procedure is instaing. Non-compliance (Donth) f. - A written procedure detailing the selection, evaluation, approval and monitoring process of appro	1.06.01	No Change In v3.2 Point change 5 to 10	The procedum for evaluation, approval and on-going vertification, including monitoring of suppliest, on-last envice providers and discussed service providers should include the indication to be considered for decision making (including food selvy hararch), exception and the elements the providers should comply with to make sure they meet the defined specifications. This procedure should include monotoring requerements in order to remain approved, and methods for specification and memory and the indication and methods for specification and methods (monotoring) approved in a specification of the specification and the specification of methods (monotoring) and memory shared that have next yet been approved including requiring approval from named management is justified and documented.	Total compliance (10 points): There is a written procedure detailing how suppliers and service providers (e.g. new packaging lama) are enaluted, approved and monitored. The procedure for evaluation, approval and on-going writtation, induction materials, entities, on-site service providers and doctaouted service providers should include the inductors to be considered for decision making (including for evaluation, approval and on-going writtation), inductions is should comply with in onke sure they metits the service services providers and doctaous desirvice providers is should and/or the inductors to be considered for decision making (including food safety hazards), exceptions and the desirests the providers should comply with in onke sure they metits the defined approximations. The procedure which all appliers and service providers. The procedure should allow data what here the defined approximation and appliers and service providers. The procedure should allow data what here the defined applications. The procedure should allow data what here is a subscript on the sans of yet been approved including exemption it non-maned management is justified and documented. U.S. Importees the amount is the procedure should detail the following where relevant: 4. Administ of evaluation approved suppliers and service providers (including second- and third-party food safety audit where relevant, it exists for anneales and primary packaging) 4. Methods of approvide suppliers and service providers. 4. Methods of approvide suppliers and service providers (including second- and third-party food safety approved shull applier is and service providers (including second- and third-party food safety audit where relevant, it exists for anneales and primary packaging) 4. Methods of approved suppliers and service providers 4. Methods and responsely for and service providers 4. Methods a deproving approved suppliers and service providers 4. Methods a deproving approved suppliers and service providers 4. Methods a depro

Suppler Montoning Control Suppler Monitoring/ Control	1.06.05     Order the organization have documented products. Ingradients, materials, services provided on-site and outsourced service suppliers comply with the approval requirements and that all supplier verification activities (products) a defining are being products), and and the supplier approval procedure?       1.06.05     Where food safety related testing is being performed by axternal laboratory service provides, are these longest and/or accredited laboratories (e.g., ISO 17025 or equivalent, national and local regulations, etc.)?	The opportunities that the tend in the required documentation for hoppings daughter to instruct that they econophing with the established supplier/service provider approval procedures. contracts, specifications, regulatory requirements and bear practice guidelines. Suppler verification documents should demonstrate bring the origoing approval requirements data that in 1.60.33 are bring met (e.g., third party audits, certificates of analysis, reviews of suppler records, etc.).	Toda compliance (15 points) The organization has extended information from proprived suppressional periodice to exemute that they are compliants in the established approval procedures to exemute that they are compliants, customer and regulatory requirements and beat praceet guidelines. This applies to agricultural inputs, raw material, primary packaging, processing alda and other ingelenet supplies, rouckas and avrices supplies. The avridence should demonstrate the verification activities (including monitoring) detailed in 1.06.03 are being met. Minor Deficiency (1 points) if - Single Instance of an omission or incorrect data in the documentation. Major Deficiency (1 points) - No documentation. No compliance (points) - Viding a non-licensed or accredited latoratory. - Licenselscredition of testing laboratory has expired.	No Change in v3.2	The acquirations should have the neglined documentation for approved suppliers to even that this pre-according in this that according to provide provider approval procedures, contracts, specifications, regulatory requirements and best practice guidelines, supplier ventication documents should damonstate that the origoing approval requirements detailed in 10.001 are being met (e.g., third party food safety audits, certificates of analysis, reviews of supplier records, etc.). No: Change in v3.2	Teld compliance (15 point). The organization has relevant information from approved supplemation (or providents to superclanding), and the organization is an information from approved supplemation (or annotation supplemation), customer and regulatory requirements and best practice guidelines. This applies to approximate supplemations, customer and regulatory requirements and best practice guidelines. This applies to approximate supplemations, customer and regulatory requirements and best practice guidelines. This applies the approximate supplemations, customer and regulatory requirements and best practice guidelines. This applies the supplemation supplemations and the supplemation and the supplemation to 60.0 are being multice (g., third party) closely don't adding wald certificate the tables of control (within table (g., third party) closely of both adding wald certificate the tables of control (within table). To mothly succould add the the paper and control to applemation of the supplemation of product and ingradion. Including transmitted the supplemation of guideling supplemations and the supplemation of product and ingradion. Including the succourse table and particle applemations and the the supplemation of product guideling and the supplemation of product guideling and the supplemation and the supplemation of subscriptions and supplemations and the supplemation of subscriptions and supplemations and the supplemations and supplemations and subscriptions and subscriptions and subscriptions and supplemations and subscriptions and subscriptions and subscriptions and subscriptions and subscriptions and subscriptions and subscr
Traceability and Recall	1.07.01 Is there is a document that indicates how the company product tracking ar- back and that forward to occur in the event of a potential recall issue?		Total compliance (10 points): The tracking system is shown in writing or in the form of a flow diagram and demonstrates the product tracking system that is back to the support(s) of marrieria, backgrain, proferience, processing aids work-in-progress, etc., and show that the system can trace toward and indicate with customic (s) received products. This is usually accompliable by the coding materials throughout a process and recording these lot codes at different points in the products. This is usually accompliable by the coding materials throughout a process and recording these lot codes at different points in the process. The tracestoring these lot codes at different points in an drave the audited economitate how the order traces and the products. This is usually accompliable by the coding material supplierly and traces forward to the code traces back to raw material supplierly and traces forward to the code traces back to raw material supplierly and traces forward to the code traces the field or products frame should match the system that is being used in the field or products frame should match the system that is being used in the field or products frame should match the system and the subscript of a required for some products where packgraps recails might nocure ag- ter and the traceability system should match the system and baithoution addites and organic for packcraps that is not usually the course of accesses to custome for packcraps that is not usually the tracking own traceability system, or have adopted their client(c). Growers may have access to custome for packcraps the successfue of packcraps (the own traceability system on site. CoolingCold Storage & Storage and Distribution correading show are used the the actuation will have the each individual traceability system on site. CoolingCold Storage & Storage and Distribution correading show are used the the actuation will have beach of bitch-tow correations should have a system that can traceability shorage and bitch-tow core	No Change in v3.2	No Charge in v3.2	Telal compliance (10 points): The tracking system is shown in writing or in the form of a flow diagram and demonstrates the product tracking system that is used by the operation. The system should be able to show that it demonstrates the product tracking system that is used by the operation. The system should be able to show that it will be the system of the system should be able to show that it shows that it be system should be involved the transformed by the operation. The system should be able to show that it was compliable by lot coding materials throughout a process and recording these lot codes at different points in the more states that the transformed by the involved the system state support of the transformed by accompliable system should be involved the system state support in proceeds. The states compliable system should be able to an and and support the charge paperwork. The audity should choose a finished product lot code to test the transability system and load to the other states and the system should match the system that is being used in the fide of production facility (a splicitable). Recording batches of packaging is negating to taken a contexplicable state and the system should be should be able to allow the states that the and appendix is states' any causes, by their clines might have borget as a contexplicable state should be applicable (see the states) and the system should be able set. Recording the should be reaching the should be applicable to the should be able to the should be able set. Recording the should be reaching the should be applied by the should charge the states and should be able able to the should be able of should be an applied by the should be able should be able to the should be able to the should be and the should be able to able the should be able to able the should be able to able to the should be the should be able to able the should be able to able the should be able to able the should be able to the should be able to able the should be able to abl
Traceability and Recall	1.07.03 Is testing of read procedures (including tablead), by offering the data of the data compared of the data of the data compared of the data of the data compared of the data of the data of the compared of the compared of the data of the compared of the compa		<ul> <li>In the sector of the sector of</li></ul>	No Change in v3.2		Teld completions (1) (point) "Charged of each providers doubt to protein due to any air works, (1) (5) or host examo toget are early the gradient on the most in each of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the most example of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the most example of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status of the status
Food Defense	1.08.01 is Brave a written food faud vulnerability assessment (FFVA) and protection plan for all types of fraud, including all incoming and outgoing products?	There should be a vulnerability assessment and comprehensive protection plan for all types of flood fraux. This includee economically motivate hizards, economically motivated flood auflet plazada, adultrant substances, milabeling, thet, tampering, simulation, driversion or gray munker, histiekcual property rights and An example of a flood fraud seannic that may occur at an operation and when supplers provide productimismits that do not match ther required specifications (e.g. unapproved chemicals, non-food grade packaging matterial).	Total compliance (6 points). There should be a vulnerability assessment and comprehensive protection plan for all types of food fraud. This includes economically motivate hazards, economically motivated food astely hazards, adulternat tabutances, mislabeling, their, tampesting, simulation, diversion or gray market, infelectual property rights and constructions, diversion or gray market, infelectual property rights and constructions, appearing provide products/materials that do not match their required specifications (e.g. unapproved chemicals, non-food grade packaging material).	No Change in v3.2	There should be a vulnerability (risk) assessment and comprehensive protection plan for all spee of food flaud. This includes economic motivated bazands, economically motivated food safety hazands, adultarent substances, missibeling, fihell, tampering, simulation, diversion or gray market, intelecual property rights and counterfering. An example of a lood flaud scenario that may occur at an operation is when suppliers provide productionalisation that do not market their requires genicitations (e.g. unapproved chemicals, non-flood grade packaging material, product substation).	Tada compliance (5 points). Three should be a sufferenability (rols) assessment and compenhensive protection plan for all types of foot and. This includes commonly more than branchs, economismil more added to added hazards, adulterant substances, mislabeling, theit, tampering, simulation, diversion or gray market, intellectual property rights and conterfetting. An example of a food thaud scenario that may occur at an operation is when suppliers provide products/materials and a nort match their required specifications (e.g. unapproved chemicals, non-lood grade packaging material, product submittion).

<b>E</b> 18 (	 			1. a		
Food Defense	Does the company have a documented	The company should have a documented food defense plan that	Total conformance (5 points): The operation should have a documented food	Is there a written	The company should have a documented food defense plan that includes a written	Total conformance (5 points): The operation should have a documented food defense plan that outlines the
		includes a written vulnerability assessment, and controls for the	defense plan that outlines the organization's security controls based on the	food defense	food defense vulnerability assessment, and controls for the identified risks. Some	organization's security controls based on a written food defense vulnerability assessment of risks associated with
		identified risks. The food defense plan creation should also meet	risk associated with the operations. This plan should include Good Agricultural	vulnerability	high-risk areas include: site/building access, personnel, visitors, contractors,	the operations. This plan should include Good Agricultural Practices and/or Good Manufacturing Practices, as well
		any national or local regulations (including management oversight	Practices and/or Good Manufacturing Practices, as well as a written	assessment and	computers, raw material receipt (raw materials, product and packaging), trucks	as a written risk/vulnerability assessment, and controls for the identified risks.
		and approval). Based on this assessment, the operation should	risk/vulnerability assessment, and controls for the identified risks. The plan	food defense plan	(incoming and outbound), water sources, storage areas for product, materials,	
		create monitoring, corrective action and verification procedures	should be reviewed at least once every 12 months.	based on the risks	chemicals, production areas, shipping areas, etc. The food defense plan creation	The document should include relevant food defense risks such as site/building access, personnel, visitors,
		(where appropriate). These procedures should note the recording			should also meet any national or local regulations (including management oversight	contractors, computers, raw material receipt (raw materials, product and packaging), trucks (incoming and
		requirements of the food defense plan. The plan should be	The document should include relevant food defense risks such as personnel,		and approval). Based on this assessment, the operation should create monitoring,	outbound), water sources, storage areas for product, materials, chemicals, production areas, shipping areas, etc.
		reviewed at least once every 12 months.	visitors, contractors, raw material receipt (raw materials, product and		corrective action and verification procedures (where appropriate). These procedures	There may also be a requirement to ensure that suppliers have proper food defense programs. The food defense
			packaging), trucks (incoming and outbound), etc. There may also be a		should note the recording requirements of the food defense plan. The plan should be	plan creation should also meet any national or local regulations (including management oversight and approval).
			requirement to ensure that suppliers have proper food defense programs. The		reviewed at least once every 12 months e.g. as part of management verification	Documented operational risk management (ORM) systems are acceptable if they show the controls that have been
			food defense plan creation should also meet any national or local regulations		review process.	implemented for the food defense risks that have been identified. The plan should be reviewed at least once every
			(including management oversight and approval). Documented operational risk			12 months e.g. as part of management verification review process.
			management (ORM) systems are acceptable if they show the controls that			
			have been implemented for the food defense risks that have been identified.			Additional resources:
						https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/9fb1c725-4aae-4e06-b56e-
			Risk/vulnerability assessment templates can be found at:			217e0fc08f43/Self_Assessment_Checklist_Food_Security.pdf?MOD=AJPERES
			https://www.fsis.usda.gov/shared/PDF/Self_Assessment_Checklist_Food_Sec			https://www.fda.gov/food-food-defense-tools-educational-materials/food-defense-plan-builder
			urity.pdf			
						Minor deficiency (3 points) if:
			Minor deficiency (3 points) if:			<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of errors or omissions in the risk assessment or food defense plan.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of errors or omissions in the food defense plan.</li> </ul>			
			Major deficiency (1 point) if:			Major deficiency (1 point) if:
			<ul> <li>Numerous instances of errors or omissions in the food defense plan.</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Numerous instances of errors or omissions in the risk assessment or food defense plan.</li> </ul>
			Non-conformance (0 points) if:			
			<ul> <li>Food defense plan has not been documented.</li> </ul>			Non-conformance (0 points) if:
						<ul> <li>Food defense plan has not been documented.</li> </ul>
						There is no risk assessment.
		1				
		1				
		1				
Food Defense	Are records associated with the food	1	Total conformance (5 points). The records required in the food defense plan	No Change in v3.2	No Change in v3.2	Total conformance (5 points). The records required in the food defense plan should be maintained, in accordance
	defense plan and its procedures being	1	should be maintained, in accordance with the details of the plan and its			with the details of the plan (see 1.08.02) and its associated procedures. These records are also subject to the
	maintained, including monitoring,	1	associated procedures. These records are also subject to the document			document control and records requirements of this module.
	corrective action and verification records	1	control and records requirements of this module.			
	(where appropriate)?	1				
				1		