## General Description of Changes to Module 7 1. Changes to question numbers 2. Expanded expectations

				PrimusGFS v3.2				
Section	Q#	v3.1 Question	v3.1 Expectations	v3.1 Interpretation Guideline	New #		v3.2 Expectation	v3.2 Interpretation Guideline
Preliminary step	7.01.01	Is there a team responsible for the	There should be a documented list of the team carrying out the	Total compliance (10 points): There should be a formally identified group of people in charge of		No change in v3.2	There should be a documented list of the team carrying out the preventive control	Total compliance (10 points): There should be a formally identified group of people in charge of
		preventive control program at the	preventive control program in the operation, with one leader or	development and maintenance of the preventive control program along with their corresponding			program in the operation, with one member of the team (a preventive control qualified	development and maintenance of the preventive control program along with their corresponding
		operation, with a leader assigned, if	coordinator assigned as responsible. The team should be	responsibilities. Ideally, the group should be comprised of individuals from different areas of the company			individual), who has successfully completed recognized training in the development and	responsibilities. The group should be multidisciplinary and include individuals from different areas of
		applicable, for the development,	multidisciplinary and may include people from production, quality,	such as top management, quality management, production, maintenance, sanitation, QC, etc. Consider			application of risk-based preventive controls training (or is otherwise qualified) designated	the company such as top management, quality management, production, maintenance, sanitation,
		implementation and on-going	sanitation, maintenance, shipping, procurement, sales, external	including resources from outside e.g. suppliers, buyers, consultants, trade association, universities,			the preventive control coordinator (leader). The team should be multidisciplinary and	QC, etc. Consider including resources from outside e.g. suppliers, buyers, consultants, trade
		maintenance of the preventive control	consultants, etc. The size of the team will depend on the size of the	extension office, etc. One member of the team, should be designated the preventive control coordinator.			include people from production, quality, sanitation, maintenance, shipping, procurement,	association, universities, extension office, etc. One member of the team (a preventive control qualified
		program?	operation and the processes performed.	Where a consultant has been designated the preventive control coordinator, it should be evident that they			sales, external consultants, etc. The size of the team will depend on the size of the	
		program?	operation and the processes performed.	Where a consultant has been designated the preventive control coordinator, it should be evident that they			sales, external consultants, etc. The size of the team will depend on the size of the	individual), who has successfully completed recognized training in the development and application of
				are present at all meetings and actively involved in the program. The preventive control team should			operation and the processes performed.	risk-based preventive controls training (or is otherwise qualified) should be designated the preventive
				meet at least quarterly (ideally monthly). If the company is too small (less than 20 people) to have a				control coordinator (leader). Where a consultant has been designated the preventive control
				preventive control team, there should still be one individual designated as the preventive control				coordinator, it should be evident that they are present at all meetings and actively involved in the
				coordinator. That individual is responsible for the implementation of the preventive control program along				program. The preventive control team should meet at least quarterly (ideally monthly). If the company
				with any changes and updates to the preventive control program.				is too small (less than 20 people) to have a preventive control team, there should still be one
								preventive control qualified individual designated as the preventive control coordinator. That individual
				Minor deficiency (7 points) if:				is responsible for the implementation of the preventive control program along with any changes and
				Team has been put together but lacks key representation e.g. maintenance.				updates to the preventive control program.
				Major deficiency (3 points) if:				apanes to the pretenter control program.
				<ul> <li>The team or individual is assigned but does not meet regularly to review the preventive control program.</li> </ul>				Minor deficiency (7 points) if:
				<ul> <li>I ne team or individual is assigned but does not meet regularly to review the preventive control program.</li> <li>A large company, but only a single individual has been designated to develop the operational</li> </ul>				Team has been put together but lacks key representation e.g. senior management, maintenance,
				preventive control program.				- Team has been put ogenier but lacks key representation e.g. serior management, maintenance,
				preventive control program.				sanitation.
				Non-compliance (0 points) if:				Only three meetings have occurred in the last 12 months (for an all year-round operation)
				The preventive control team or the individual assigned to manage the preventive control program has				Major deficiency (3 points) if:
				not kept the program updated.				The team or individual is assigned but does not meet regularly to review the preventive control
				<ul> <li>There is no preventive control team or preventive control coordinator.</li> </ul>				program.
								<ul> <li>A large company, but only a single individual has been designated to develop the operational</li> </ul>
								preventive control program.
								<ul> <li>Two or less meetings have occurred in the last 12 months (for an all year-round operation).</li> </ul>
								Non-compliance (0 points) if:
								The preventive control team or the individual assigned to manage the preventive control program has
1	1	1	1	1	1	1		not kept the program updated.
								There is no preventive control team or preventive control coordinator (leader).
1	1	1	1	1	1	1		more is no preveniese control team of preveniese control coordinator (leader).
1	1		1	1	1	1		1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
1	1		1	1	1	1		1
		l		<u> </u>				
Preliminary step	os 7.01.02	Is there documented evidence that the	At least one member of the preventive control team should have a	Minor deficiency (10 points) if:	1	No change in v3.2	The preventive control coordinator should have a certificate of a formal Preventive Control	Minor deficiency (10 points) if:
1	1	preventive control team members have	formal Preventive Control Qualified Individual training. The rest of	<ul> <li>Not all preventive control team members are trained in preventive control principles (but all key</li> </ul>	1	1	Qualified Individual training from a recognized organization, institution or trainer. The rest	Not all preventive control team members are trained in preventive control principles (but majority of
		been trained on preventive control	the team should have at least an internal training to make sure they	operators and majority of preventive control team members have been trained).			of the team should have at least an internal training given by someone who has gone to a	preventive control team members have been trained).
		program development?	are knowledgeable of the preventive control program development.	<ul> <li>Management has not received preventive control training.</li> </ul>			formal Preventive Control Qualified Individual training to make sure they are	Management team members have not received preventive control training.
			These trainings should be documented.	<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of omissions or incorrect data in the records.</li> </ul>			knowledgeable of the preventive control program development. These trainings should be	<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of omissions or incorrect data in the records.</li> </ul>
				Major deficiency (5 points) if:			documented.	Major deficiency (5 points) if:
				Preventive control coordinator has not completed a formal Preventive Control Qualified Individual				Preventive control coordinator has not completed a formal Preventive Control Qualified Individual
				training course.				training course.
				Numerous instances of omissions or incorrect data in the records.				Numerous instances of omissions or incorrect data in the records.
				Non-compliance (0 points) if:				Non-compliance (0 points) if:
				No formal training records for preventive control team members.				No formal training records for preventive control team members.
				No formal training records for preventive control team members.				No formal training records for preventive control team members.
Preliminary step	s 7.01.03	Does a product description exist for the	The description should detail the products' composition	Total compliance (10 points): Product description(s) should clearly indicate the item(s) intended use i.e.	1	No change in v3.2	Product description(s) should clearly describe the product and its distribution and be used	Total compliance (10 points): Product description(s) should clearly describe the product and its
		products produced?	(ingredients), packaging used, storage conditions, distribution	does it need washing, peeling, cooking prior to consumption, is it RTE, etc., by the consumer and reflect			to determine if specific controls are important throughout the distribution chain. The	distribution and be used to determine if specific controls are important throughout the distribution
		i '	requirements, important food safety characteristics (if any) (e.g.,	the label of the product (unit packed product). Product description(s) should define and indicate details			description should detail the products' name and composition (ingredients), packaging	chain. The description should indicate the product(s) name, type(s) of packaging, shelf-life and method
			pH, water activity), label instructions, the intended use, statement	regarding whether the item is perishable or long life, if there are any special storage requirements and			used, storage conditions, shelf life, distribution requirements, important food safety	of storage and distribution. Information should include intended use i.e. does it need washing, peeling.
			on whether the product is RTE and who the intended consumer is.	any important food safety characteristics (e.g., pH, water activity). Product description(s) should define			characteristics (if any) (e.g., pH, water activity), label instructions, the intended use,	cooking prior to consumption, is it RTE, etc., by the consumer, and reflect the label of the product (unit
			on whether the product is title and who the interface consumer is:	the potential risk associated with the product, materials used and also who the intended customers are			statement on whether the product is RTE and who the intended consumer is.	packed product). Intended use should include any potential for abuse or misuse of the produce (e.g.
				(general public, restricted to certain sectors, e.g. people not suffering from a certain allergy, diabetic			placement on whether the product is title and who the interiode consumer is.	eating raw when product is intended to be cooked). Product description(s) should list all ingredients
				issues, etc.). The product description can be generic if the products and processes are similar. Where the				including allergens, define and indicate details regarding whether the item is perishable or long life, if
				products and/or processes are not similar to each other, specific product descriptions are required.				there are any appoint the and debthy then requirements and any important food angets.
				products and/or processes are not similar to each other, specific product descriptions are required.				there are any special storage and distribution requirements and any important food safety
								characteristics that can influence the growth of pathogens (e.g., pH, water activity), and labeling
								requirements. Product description(s) should define the potential risk associated with the product,
								materials used and also who the intended customers are (general public, restricted to certain sectors,
								e.g. people not suffering from a certain allergy, diabetic issues, other at-risk groups, etc.). The product
								description can be generic if the products and processes are similar. Where the products and/or
								processes are not similar to each other, specific product descriptions are required.
1	1		1	1	1	1		
1	1		1	1	1	1		1
Preliminary step	os 7.01.04	Has the process(es) been flow charted	The information (from receiving through to shipping) on the flow	Total compliance (10 points). There should be process flow charts for each preventive control plan. The		No change in v3.2	The information (from receiving through to shipping) on the flow diagram is used to	Total compliance (10 points). There should be process flow charts for each preventive control plan.
1		in sufficient detail to completely describe	diagram is used to evaluate whether or not hazards exist	flow chart should show each step of the process(es) under control of the operation (from receiving	1	1	evaluate whether or not hazards exist associated with each step of the process. Groups of	The flow chart should show each step of the process(es) under control of the operation (from receiving
1	1	the process or product	associated with each step of the process. Groups of similar	through to shipping), so that the hazard analysis can be completed properly. The flow chart should	1	1	similar products (including ingredients) going through the same process can be grouped	through final product storage and shipping), so that the hazard analysis can be completed properly.
1	1	handling/processing steps?	products going through the same process can be grouped in the	indicate the raw materials, ingredients and materials used in all preparation steps, all equipment used.	1	1	in the same flow chart. The flow chart should indicate all raw materials, incredients and	The flow chart should indicate all raw materials, ingredients and materials used in all preparation
1	1	gprocessing steps.	same flow chart. Diagram should show rework processes and when	blending steps, processing steps, rework, returned products and products destined for further processing.	1	1	materials used in all preparation steps, all equipment used, blending steps, processing	steps, all equipment used, blending steps, processing steps, rework, by-product, returned products
1	1		product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows	packaging materials (carton and unit packaging) and packaging equipment. All inputs should be included,	1	1	steps, rework, by-product, returned products and products destined for further processing,	and products destined for further processing, packaging materials (carton and unit packaging) and
1	1		can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).	packaging materials (carton and unit packaging) and packaging equipment. All inputs should be included, such as packaging, water source (e.g. city or well), ice, anti-microbials, etc. Each step should show any	1	1	packaging materials (carton and unit packaging) and packaging equipment. All inputs	packaging equipment. All inputs should be included, such as packaging, water source (e.g. city or
1	1		our oc sogmened by written process descriptions (where helpful).	holding times, temperature regimes and tagging. For example, a step termed "packing" in an apple	1	1	should be included, such as packaging, water source (e.g. city or well), ice, anti-	well), ice, anti-microbials, fungicides, etc. Each step should show any holding times, temperature
1	1				1	1		regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. For example, a step termed "packing" in an apple
1								
1				packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to detail many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections,			microbials, fungicides, etc. Each step should show any holding times, temperature	
				recirculated product wash/rinse steps, single-pass wash/rinse steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying,			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to detail many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections,
1				recirculated product washirinse steps, single-pass washirinse steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to detail many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recirculated product wash/rinse steps, single-pass wash/rinse steps, waxers, fungicide, drying, packing
				recirculated product wash/rinse steps, single-pass wash/rinse steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and oding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to detail many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recirculated product wash/rins esteps, single-pass wash/rinse steps, waxers, fungicide, drying, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow
				recirculated product washirinse steps, single-pass washirine steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is diverted to be			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to detail many of the processes, e.g., dump tanks, selections, recirculated product washfriese steps, single-pass washfriese steps, waxers, fungicide, dyring, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes them individual process
				recirculated product washinines steps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), dying, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (when the process process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (when the process process flows are the superior by written process descriptions (when the process process flows are the process process flows are the process flows are the process of the process of the process flows are the process flows			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to defait many of the processes, e.g., dump tanks, selections, eccirculated product washfrinse steps, single-pass washfrinse steps, waxers, fungicide, drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is divited to be used
				recirculated product washirinse steps, single-pass washirine steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is diverted to be			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to detail many of the processes, e.g., dump tanks, selections, recirculated product washfriese steps, single-pass washfriese steps, waxers, fungicide, dyring, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes them individual process
				recirculated product washinines steps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), dying, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (when the process process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (when the process process flows are the superior by written process descriptions (when the process process flows are the process process flows are the process flows are the process of the process of the process flows are the process flows			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to defait many of the processes, e.g., dump tanks, selections, eccirculated product washfrinse steps, single-pass washfrinse steps, waxers, fungicide, drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is divited to be used
				recirculated product washinines steps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), dying, packing the boxes and coding. In operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (when the process process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (when the process process flows are the superior by written process descriptions (when the process process flows are the process process flows are the process flows are the process of the process of the process flows are the process flows			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to defait many of the processes, e.g., dump tanks, selections, eccirculated product washfrinse steps, single-pass washfrinse steps, waxers, fungicide, drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is divited to be used
				recirculated product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, positing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with arilles processes, a single process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes here individual process flow are required. Daggam should have revenly processes and when product is devited to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where heapful).			regimes. etc., at appropriate process signs. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is directed to be used for dreep propose. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product wathrine steps, neighpe saw wathrine steps, neighpe saw wathrine steps, neighpe saw wathrine steps, neighpe saw wathrine steps, as ingel process from the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but shiff inteller processes then individual process from a processes that the processes the neighbor processes from a size required. Diagram should show re-work processes and within processes developtions (where helpful), and the purposes. Process flows can be suggested by written process developtions (where helpful),
Preliminary step	os 7.01.05	is there documented evidence that the	The diagram(c) should be verified on-site and signed and dated by	recordance product washinese seleps, single-pass washinese steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with unifer processes here individually process flow may be used. Where there are multiple products but with different processes here individually used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  Total compliance (10 points): Flow diagrams should be verified on-site and signed and dided by the		is there documented evidence that the flow	regimes, i.e., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is dretted be used for deep proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The diagram(s) should be verified on-site and signed and dated by the preventive control	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitabled product assistives elses, singles pack substitives less, singles processes from the boxes and coding, in operation with multiple products but similar processes, a single process flow from an engagined florgame should show even processes and when products diverted be be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful.)  Total compliance (10 points). The intens in the flow chart are used to organize the hazard analysis.
Preliminary step	os 7.01.05	is there documented evidence that the flow chart(s) been verified on-site?	the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the	recrudated product washinine seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxen (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operation with multiple products but with afferences a single process flow are reprired. Claggam shoots don't even dry process and when product is devited to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  Total compliance (10 points): Flow diagrams should be verified on-site and signed and dated by the preventive control coordinator to continuit in reflects the process all different moments and there are no		is there documented evidence that the flow chart(s) has been verified on-site?	regimes. It. at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is develoted to be used for dreep proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The diagram(s) should be verified on-site and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinators to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments.	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product wathrine steps, single-gas wathrines steps, single-gas wathrines steps, single-groups the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single-process flow may be used. Where here are multiple products but with different processes then individual process flows are required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is diverted to be used flow as the required. Diagram should show re-work processes and when product is diverted to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  Total complaince (10 points). The depts in the flow chart are used to orgation the hazard analysis. Provi diagrams should be writted on also by the bod safely team and the team should make any
Preliminary step	os 7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or the first or the state of the same of the s	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to death many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product wathrine steps, single-gas wathrines steps, single-gas wathrines steps, single-grocess flow the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but similar processes, a single-process flow pages leaded. Where there are multiple products but with different processes them individual process for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The descriptions of the process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The descriptions of the process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The descriptions of the process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The descriptions of the process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The descriptions of the process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).
Preliminary step	7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the	recrudated product washinine seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxen (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operation with multiple products but with afferences a single process flow are reprired. Claggam shoots don't even dry process and when product is devited to be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  Total compliance (10 points): Flow diagrams should be verified on-site and signed and dated by the preventive control coordinator to continuit in reflects the process all different moments and there are no			regimes. It. at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is develoted to be used for dreep proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful).  The diagram(s) should be verified on-site and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinators to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments.	packinghouse is incorrect since it omiss to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, incredicated product swintime steps, single-pses wathvilves steps, single-pses wathvilves steps, single-process from the toxes and coding, in operation with multiple products but similar processes, a single-process flow flow as mergiand. Organism should show even for processes and with product all diverted be be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where helpful). Total compliance (10 points): The steps in the flow chart are used to organize the hazard analysis. Flow diagrams should be verified on-site by the floot safely term and the learn stroud make any reflected in the flow dragam are evaluated to delemine (10 the charges) have an impact on the hazard selected in the flow dragam are evaluated to delemine (10 the charges) have an impact on the hazard selected in the flow dragam are evaluated to delemine (10 the charges) have an impact on the hazard selected in the flow dragam are evaluated for delemine (10 the charges) have an impact on the hazard selected in the flow dragam are evaluated for delemine (10 the charges) have an impact on the hazard selected in the flow dragam are evaluated for delemine (10 the charges) have an impact on the hazard selected in the flow dragam are evaluated for the selection of the
Preliminary step	7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or the first or the state of the same of the s	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product whathires etges, neighpe saw washtires etges, neighpe saw washtires etges, neighpe saw washtires etges, neighpe processes the processes of the processes the invitable processes. The processes the invitable processes of the processes the invitable processes of the processes
Preliminary step	os 7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or the first or the state of the same of the s	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product assistives elses, single-gas wathfrives elses, a single-gas single-gas and coding, in operation with multiple products but similar processes, a single-gas processes flow through the processes of the processes descriptions (where helpful).  Total compliance (10 points). The depth in the flow chart are used to organize the hazard analysis. Flow dangams should be verified or valle by the flow of the processes descriptions (where helpful) verified in the processes of the processes of the processes of the processes of the carried of the processes of the carried of the processes of the processes of the carried of the processes of th
Preliminary step	os 7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when for ow charging were verified) and these are or the first or the should be set of the same of the should be a size of the should be the same of the should be should be set of the same	packinghouse is incorrect since it omiss to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, renclosaled product whethire seleps, single-pses wathvilves seleps, single-pse subscriptions (e.g., single-psecies) for the boses and coding, in operation with multiple products but similar processes, a single-process flow flow as renequised. Organism should show even processes and when products disverted be be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by within process descriptions (where helpful). Total compliance (10 points): The sleeps in the flow chart are used to organize the hazard analysis. Flow diagrams should be verified or online by the flow of by term and the flow in twold make any redected in the flow diagram and evaluated to feed usingly bean and the flow in twold make any redected in the flow diagram and evaluated to deal usingly bean size. The flow of the flow of the flow of the control of the size of analysis and preventive controls in place. The flow chart jo: a signed and dated by the preventive control for the chart flow of which jour shall place the flow of the chart of control for our and their for utterilly new verifical and the sea or morting steps. Intelligent detail.
Preliminary step	os 7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when for ow charging were verified) and these are or the first or the should be set of the same of the should be a size of the should be the same of the should be should be set of the same	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product wathrine steps, single-gas wathrines steps, as single-gas steps, single-gas wathrines steps, as single-gas steps, single-gas wathrines steps, single-gas
Preliminary step	7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when for ow charging were verified) and these are or the first or the should be set of the same of the should be a size of the should be the same of the should be should be set of the same	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product wathrine steps, single-gas wathrines steps, as single-gas steps, single-gas wathrines steps, as single-gas steps, single-gas wathrines steps, single-gas
Preliminary step	7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when for ow charging were verified) and these are or the first or the should be set of the same of the should be a size of the should be the same of the should be should be set of the same	packinghouse is incorrect since it omiss to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, renclosaled product whethire seleps, single-pses wathvilves seleps, single-pse subscriptions (e.g., single-psecies) for the boses and coding, in operation with multiple products but similar processes, a single-process flow flow as renequised. Organism should show even processes and when products disverted be be used for other purposes. Process flows can be augmented by within process descriptions (where helpful). Total compliance (10 points): The sleeps in the flow chart are used to organize the hazard analysis. Flow diagrams should be verified or online by the flow of by term and the flow in twold make any redected in the flow diagram and evaluated to feed usingly bean and the flow in twold make any redected in the flow diagram and evaluated to deal usingly bean size. The flow of the flow of the flow of the control of the size of analysis and preventive controls in place. The flow chart jo: a signed and dated by the preventive control for the chart flow of which jour shall place the flow of the chart of control for our and their for utterilly new verifical and the sea or morting steps. Intelligent detail.
Preliminary step	os 7.01.05		the preventive control team coordinator to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments and there are no	relocation product washinines seleps, single-pass washinines steps, waxers (with fungicide), drying, packing the boxes and coding, in operations with multiple products but with affirences is single process flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot of box on evolve processes and when product is defined to be processed flow are reprinced Diagoam shoot down evolve processes and when product is defined to be legicided.  Find the proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where legicided).  Find compliance (10 points): Flow diagoams should be verified on-site and appeal and dated by the processing control or continual tendence the process at different connects and there are no resistant processes.			regimes, etc., at appropriate process steps. Diagram should show rework processes and when product is offered to be used for drive proposes. Process flows can be augmented by written process descriptions (where height).  The diagram(s) should be verified or-eite and signed and dated by the preventive control team coordinater to confirm it reflects the conditions of the process at different moments is time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified or the time (auditor should confirm how and when from or whorly) were verified and the same or time (auditor should confirm how and when from or what (size verified) and these are or time (auditor should confirm how and when for ow charging were verified) and these are or the first or the should be set of the same of the should be a size of the should be the same of the should be should be set of the same	packinghouse is incorrect since it omits to dealt many of the processes, e.g. dump tanks, selections, recruitable product wathrine steps, single-gas wathrines steps, as single-gas steps, single-gas wathrines steps, as single-gas steps, single-gas wathrines steps, single-gas

Development of	7.02.01	Has a documented hazard analysis for	The hazard analysis is required to identify biological, chemical	Total compliance (15 points): A hazard analysis identifies and evaluates hazards, and determines if	Has a documented hazard analysis for each	There should be a detailed, documented hazard analysis for each product group	Total compliance (15 points): A hazard analysis identifies and evaluates potential food safety hazards
the Preventive Controls Program		the processes been conducted, showing the various types of the various v	including radiological), physical, and economically motivated husands for food safety at each stage of the production process; but a production process of the production process of the production process and evaluate the severity and literative and evaluate the severity and literative and evaluated in a document of an extra process, altergens, and evaluated the severity and literative and process, altergens, and evaluated manner. Preventive controls, such as process, altergens, and evaluated manner and evaluated and process and process and evaluation, and supply dark and bodies between different for the function of the evaluation of the process flow diagrams should be assessed in the hazard analysis. The basic analysis should be reviewed when changes occur affecting the product description wind for the process flow.	control measures are in place to prevent, diminate or relacion the food safely hazard to an acceptable will. There should be a detailed, documented hazard analysis for each process flow in order to prove the control of the process	sendant been conducted, showing the conductive state of the conductive state o	including ingredients) process for oir notes to prove final proper hazard analysis was concluded. Similar processing separation from the final processing separation conducted in the processing separation of the control of the contr	and determines the hazards requiring a preventive control because they are reasonably likely to cause sor signs; in the advances of control. There should be a delating, documented hazards analysis for wars conducted. Note, similar products (e.g. similar in formalishin, have similar processing sleps and service conducted. Note, similar products (e.g. similar in formalishin, have similar processing sleps and service control of the process. The process of the process. From a service of the process o
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.02	Have preventive control decisions been made with documented relevant validation justifications and where preventive control(s) are implemented in a specific processing step, have they been developed using plans and/or procedures to control the identified hazard(s)?	The prevenible control decisions should be resided from the documented hazard warlyse, it. Pitter should be a logical documented approach showing with the process was deemed a preventive control on The Peterlite control decisions should be properly salfed with supporting documents and evidence. The preventive controls deficien in the laural analysis should be prevenive controls deficien in the laural analysis should be movived, including mornitoring requirements, thresholds, corrective actions and verification parameters in order to control the hazard.	Total compliance (15 points). The presentive contrid declarations should be proceenly justified with supporting document and evidence. The presentive controls defined in the hazard snalpsis should be developed to define, in detail, the parameters involved, and monitoring requirements to control the hazards(1). Types of presentive controls is consequently and an apply chain. The process preventive controls should be created from the documented hazard analysis i.e. there should be a logical documental approach (such as utilizing a decision tree) showing why the process was determed a preventive control of mot.	Where risk-based preventive controls are identified, have they been developed using plans and/or procedures to control identified hazard(s) are they appropriate and consistent with current scientific understanding?	Powerfair control decisions should be properly justified with supporting documents and colorized. Presentive controls may include process preventive controls, bod aliergen preventive controls, sanitation preventive controls, and supply chain program as well as sittle preventive controls. Preventive control decisions should be receited from the documented hazard analyses, i.e. there should be a logical documented approach showing why the process was deemed a preventive control of an fire preventive process of the process of the process of the process of the process of the parameters involved and monitoring requirements, firesholds, corrective actions and verification requirements in order to control the hazard(s).	sethents in all secretics must be active. Accordance of its observable of the observable observable of the observable of the observable
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.03	Have processing steps that are deemed preventive controls been identified i.e. steps that significantly minimize or prevent food safety hazards? Informational gathering. If the answer is YES, continue with the next question. If the answer is NO, the rest of 'Module 7 Preventive Controls' is not applicable.			Question removed		
Development of The Preventive Controls Program	7.02.04	Do the process prevenifive controls have critical lamits, supported by relevant process of the p	Process preventive controls should have critical limit parameters (which her exported by variation) converned that the control of the control	Total confirmation (15 points). Process preventive corticis should have critical intell parameters (which are supported by validation documentation), stowing that the parameters are scentifically derived and are supported by validation documentation. Stowing that the parameters are scentifically derived and of what is tening monitored e.g., with a metal disclosur, the sensitivity of the director selling should be stated and the sizelype of testi press used, or with a metal disclosur, the sensitivity of the director selling should be stated and the sizelype of testi press used, or with an artification, the minimum concentration required should be supported by validation documentation showing that the critical limits (CL) are scientificately devide and meet any relevant legal requirements. Validation colorate and present the specific production of the selling should be supported by validation documentation showing that the critical limits (CL) are scientificately, the addition and which were performed validation and substantial to support the selling state of the se	Do the process preventive controls have ordinated institute, appearing the prevent control institute and preventive controls have parameters, values and targets (where relevant)?	Process preventive controls should have critical limit parametes (which are supported by volation documentation, phowing that the parameters are scientified derived and volation and controlled processing scientified control and processing scientified countrols, inclusity best practice documents, poet reviewed research pages, on all validation scientified scientified specified controlled controlled processing scient send on a facility manages from the processing scientified controlled processing scient send on a facility manages from the processing scientified specified controlled processing scientified specified sp	Total confirmation (15 points). Process preventive controls should have critical intell parameters for a scarlificatily derived and supported by validation concentrations, whosing that the prameters are scarlificatily derived and supported by validation constrained by showing that the prameters are scarlificatily derived and parameters of what is being monitored as a with a metal detector, the sensitivity of the detector setting should be stated and the subplyer of testing because used, or with an anti-morcolat, the minimum concentration required should be stated. Other CLs may include temperature, time, pH, water activity, for rates, the speech deed times, et. the resignant operation is minimum occreation required should be stated. Other CLs may include temperature, time, pH, water activity, for rates, the speech section of the stated of the s
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.05	Have monitoring requirements and frequencies been determined and documented for the preventive controls?	The planes charts and/or procedures should document the monitoring requirement including detailing the actions necessary lobervalizors or measurements) to ensure whether a preventive control is under control. The plans and/or procedures should not so described the plans and/or procedures should not the plans of the plans and/or procedures should not Monitoring activities will vary between preventive control types.	Total compliance (15 points). These should be determined and documented monotoning requirements and (requiredes for the previole controls. The glassichests ander produces should document the monotoning requirements including dealing the actions necessary (observations or measurements) to make whether a previole control is under control. Where monotoning is not continuous, the type and should be specified; "an needed" in roal occupied as a stated frequency, Monitoring activities will vary should be specified; "an needed" in roal occupied as a stated frequency, Monitoring activities will vary between preventive control types. The requirements i.e. what is to be done, should be specified on the preventive control types. The requirements i.e. what is to be done, should be specified on the preventive control types. The requirements i.e. what is to be done, should be specified on the preventive control program.	No change in v3.2	There should be determined and documented mentioning requirements and frequencies for the perventive controls. Morniving applicant control by process presentive controls but also to allergen, sanistation and supply chain preventive controls as appropriate to the food soldly sizegimm. The first another procedures should not be frequencied informational controls are supported to the food soldly sizegimm. The first another procedures and mornivation of the each preventive control. Monitoring activities will vary between preventive control types.	Total compilations (15 points). These should be determined and documented monitoring requirements and frequenties of the preventile controls. Morehisting applies not only to process preventive controls and also to allegem, sanitation and supply chain preventive controls as appropriate to the food safety program. The plant-forthst and/or procedure should document the monitoring requirement including control is under control. Where monitoring is not continuous, the type and frequency of monitoring southed is under control. Where monitoring is not continuous, the type and frequency of monitoring that the specified is not accepted as a stated frequency. Monitoring activities will vary between preventive control is under control. Trequency should be specified: an ended off is not accepted as a stated frequency. Monitoring activities will vary between preventive control ignoration. The requirements i.e. what is to be done, should be specified on the preventive control organism.

Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.06	Are there documents that show validation work for the process	Process preventive controls should documented validation work performed or overseen by a qualified individual. The validation work	Total compliance (10 points): Process preventive controls should have documented validation work performed or overseen by a qualified individual. The validation work could include peer reviewed	No change in v3.2	Validation is applying scientific concepts and demonstrating that following the plan will control the identified hazards. Process preventive controls should document validation	Total compliance (10 points): Validation is applying scientific concepts and demonstrating that following the plan will control the identified hazards. Process preventive controls should have
		preventive controls and was the validation was performed by or overseen by a Preventive Control Countfield Individual?	could include peer reviewed scientific literature, legislative concentration, trade association guidance, public observations and testing, de. Where useful and relevant, other preventive controls types or partial preventive controls should be support by well associated should be support by validation week dated within 50 days of starting production.	scientific literature. Incipitative documentation, trade association guidance, in-plant Observations and elesting, etc. Where useful and relevant, of their preventive controls yess, or, saintained preventive controls should be supported by variidation work dated within 60 days of starting production. Marcon efficiency, or portions (Jr. Singhamarous) or portions of the supported by variidation work was on more supported by the production of the supported by the support of the supported by the support of the su		work perfermed or overseen by a qualified individual. Validation is required for most process controls with hazards requiring a representer control or a destined. Validation is deally done before the plan is implemented. Where relevant, other prevents controls yet and the plan is implemented. Where relevant, other prevents controls yet and the plan is implemented. Where relevant, other prevents of the plan is implemented. Where relevant, other prevents, other prevents of the prevents of th	documented validation work performed or overseen by a qualified individual. The validation work could found per previoured scientific fleature, legislative documentation, that de association guidance, in- plant observations and testing, etc. Validation is required for most process controls when hazards to plant observations and testing, etc. Validation is required for most process controls when hazards where relevant, other preventive controls layers are processed to the control on the processes give can not believe described and the production of the control work and all validation work dated within 50 days of starting production. Monor deficiency (7 points) 8: "Simplificiabled trainments(s) of an omission in the validation work. Major deficiency (2 points) 8: "Harmonicus instances of an omission in the validation work. Validation work was not overseen by a Preventive Control Qualified Individual. "Validation work was not overseen by a Preventive Control Qualified Individual." Validation work was not overseen by a Preventive Control Qualified Individual. Validation work to do one within the first 50 calendar days of production, there is no appropriate passification. Validation work has been performed. How validation work has been performed.
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.07	Do the preventive control plans, charts and/or procedures indicate that specific responsibilities have been assigned for the monitoring, recording and corrective action implementation?	Specific reportabilities should be assigned for the monitoring, recording and content earlier in judementation of each preventive control to ensure compliance.	Total compliance (10 joints). Specific responsibilities should be assigned for the monitoring, recording and corrective action implementation of each preventive control to ensure compliance.  Major deficiency (7 joints) (2.  - Specifications of instruction of a responsibility not being assigned.  Najor deficiency (3 joints) (2.  - Namerous instruction of a responsibility not being assigned.  Non-compliance (0 joints) (3.  - Non-compliance (10 joints) (3.  - Non-reporsibilities have been assigned.  - Syptematic failure to assign responsibilities.	No change in v3.2	No change in v3.2	Total compliance (10 points). Specific responsibilities should be assigned for the monitoring, recording and corrective admini implementation of each preventive control records are not being completed properly, this may be an inclusion that the tasks have not been assigned correctly. The responsibility bound be cleshy included on the preventive control is control in the control in the preventive control in the control in the control in the preventive control in the control in the preventive control in the contr
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.08	Have standard operating procedures (SOPs) been created for the monitoring process(es) of the preventive controls, including those in plan or chart format (e.g., process preventive controls)?			No change in v3.2 Point change from 5 to 10	No change in v3.2	No change in v3.2
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.09	Flave conscribe action procedures been established for the preventive controls, except the process of the process of the operation to follow if our of appointation situations are observed (loss of control/de-visition) and plans to adjust process back into contra?	There should be a documented, cleated plan with procedures to floorwhen the real to sold control (developed) of a preventive floorwhen the real to sold control (developed) of a preventive floorwhen the real to	Total compliance (15 joints). There should be a documented, detailed plan with procedures to follow when there les is a loss of control (deviation) of perventive control. The procedures should include details when there is a loss of control (deviation) of perventive control perventive should be control of the control of	No change in v3.2	Corrective actions are procedures that must be lateral preventive controls as not a propely implement (e.g., there is a deviation from a critical initial) and usually product propely interest (e.g., there is a control deviation) of a preventive control generalized to follow when there is a loss of control (deviation) of a preventive control supposition to the natural of the hazard and preventive control. Requirements was for process, bod allegen, sanishtion and supply drain program preventive controls. Corrective action clearly for a process preventive control deviation of the dress lide in these that has a series of the process of the process was "regarded" "amended" in order to get the process back to the regarded control level. Preventive measures and root cause analysis may be appropriate.	Total compliance (15 points). Corrective actions are procedures that must be taken if preventive activates and projective processes and programs of activated and produce activates and programs of the programs of the processes and programs of the processes and produced activates and processes and produced activates and processes and produced processes and produced activates and produced activates and processes and processes and produced activates and processes and pro
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.10	Have recording templates (recording forms) been developed for monitoring the preventive controls?	Onlined record templates are required for recording prevenible control implicitly in parameters on the records should reflect those in the prevenible control program. These templates should be managed under the document country large. Membring measured the prevenible control program. The templates should be managed under the document country large. Membring measured the prevenible control prevenible control type.	Total complannee (15 points). Monthuring record immigrates should be designed to record the monitoring properedive controls that have been identified. The records should make the details and order in the preventive control that have been identified. The records should make the details and order in the preventive control plant and have preventive controls identified by name and number, what is being presently or the preventive control plant in the preventive control plant in the controls according to preventive control of plant in the controls according to preventive control of plant in the controls according to part of the case a specific document control part of plant in the case a specific document control part of plant in the control of plant in the control plant in the co	Name incoding time been developed for monitoring the preventive controls?	No change in v3.2	Total computance (15 ponels). Monothroning record templates should be designed to record the monitoring of preventive controls that have been identified. The records should make the design and noted in the preventive control plan and the preventive control. The responsible person(s) or learn and the controls addicyl repeting in the case of measurements not in compliance. Monitoring recording requirements vany depending on preventive control ly see. Recording forms should have a specific document code as part of the document control program (10.201).  Monor deficiency (10 points) 8:  - Bingleinsladed instance(s) of a record(s) having been developed but doesdon not match the desists in the preventive control plan is. Information or requirements on the recording template that does not "single instance of recording forms backing required details.  Name deficiency (5 points) 8:  - Numerous instances of a record(s) having been developed but do not match the details in the state of the control plan and the control pl
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.11	have verification procedures and school/air been developed for the preventive controls?		Trail conspilance (10 points) (Verification admitted related to each preventive control in the preventive control primary mission be clearly delated and documented. Examples of wrification in chapter preventive control primary missions of wrification in chapter preventive control primary missions of wrification in chapter controls, and and preventive controls, and examples of the preventive controls, and preventive controls, and preventive controls, are desired by any supplier admits, testing related to trave materials, internal audits, espignment catalisation and accuracy, etc. Verification activities and preventive controls, and a supplier control preventive controls, and a supplier control preventive controls, and a proper and finely manner and including any corrective action work. Note, a worker cannot explicate the child wall reventive preventive control programs. Dut decided frow that the plan is being implemented correctly, is controlling the risk to an activities of the control preventive control programs. Dut decided from the control preventive control programs are decided as a preventive control programs. Our decided in a proper and finely manufacture of the preventive control programs and the properties of the preventive controls acreed to the preventive control programs. Our decidenting an agent preventive controls acreed to preferring an agent preventive controls acreed to preventive control programs.	No change in v3.2 Point change from 19 to 15	No change in v3.2	Table complance (1) goods). Verification is an important comparent of supply-attent, restriction, settings and process premietre controls. Buttles welfication is an opinion process define mostlering to smoother evidence that the plan is being properly implemented and operating as intended. Verification activities related to an intended and operating as intended. Verification include preventive control monitoring and corrective action and content of the process of the

Development of the Proceeding the Proceeding Controls Program	7.02.12	As the prevention controls (in part of the Food Safely Plan re-manyins) the Food Safely Plan re-manyins) reviewed when operational changes are made (facility, process, equipment, impredents, packaging etc.), and at least once every 3 years?	The preventive controls should be reviewed by the preventive controls feat when experiential changes are made and seless every 3 years, including the product descriptions, process flows, heard analyses, preventive control descripts, preventive control descripts, preventive control excession, preventive control excession, preventive control excession, preventive control descripts, preventive control descripts, and processes all hands, consideration of a preventive control service whole court. Documenter les relevant to the products and processes all hands, consideration of a preventive control service whole court. Documenter les relevant to the products even and processes all hands, consideration of a preventive controls review abunds court. Documenter les relevants to the products and processes all hands court to the control of the co	Take compliance (1) poritish; The preventive controls detected by the preventive controls cannot enter porestant of language are made and all east every y years, including the product descriptions, process flows, hazard analyses, preventive control descriptions, preventive control recording and worker training, to ensure that the program say to be last and working properly. Where emerging issues, such as receibs, an outbreak, rever research, etc., we relevant to the products and processes at hand, seemed to the products of the products and processes at hand, seemed to the products of the products and processes and the products are processed, and the products and processes at hand, seemed to the products of the products are producted to the products and processes and the seemed which demonstrates each of the elements of the products and the seemed to the seemed to the products and the seemed t	7.02.03	In the preventive correct angum (as part of the Preventive Correct) brain e-easilysis reviewed when significant changes are made (are materials, packaging, apoplems, braining and preventive and prevention of the prevention of th	The preventive controls should be reviewed by the preventive controls learn when significant changes are made and at least every 3 years e.g. are materials, packaging, supplies, product, process, constitution, new equipment, recurring deviations, new screenfile information, me distribution or consumer training practices, etc., including the screenfile information, me distribution or consumer training practices, etc., including the emerging issues, such as recalls, an outbreak new research, etc., are relevant to the products and processes at hand, consideration of a preventive controls review should occur. Documented the *training or educational seasions may be necessary. The review actual circulates a winter mode which demonstrates each of the determinent of the plan has well as the state of the plan to track changes over time. The preventive controls learn should inform workers involved of the review outcomes.	Total complainme (1) points). The preventive controls should be reviewed by the preventive control stems when significant changes are maked as a roam identities, another, process, construction, new equipment, recurring deviations, new scientific information, new deficitudion or construction, new equipment, recurring deviations, new scientific information, new deficitudion or constructive from the constructive construc
Development of the Preventive Controls Program	7.02.13	is there documented evidence that all joint workers have attended a preventive control training, including preventive control training, including with preventive controls?			7.03.01	is there documented evidence that all plant workers have although a preventive control training, including specific training, schooling specific training for control training fo	No change in v3.2	Total compliance (10 journis). All alle workers (excludes office preserved) should receive basic preventive control or which stating is what is an experient control or which stating is will be a preventive control or which stating should be selected to a long of the new here offered and what are the prevention control or which should be a strong should be selected and should be strained to understand the preventive controls and the prime that the strained to be understand the preventive controls and the plan implemented in the facility. Training should be exceeded on a regular basis and documented. The training should be stored to the people and their positions within the company.  Which all plant voulens are trained in preventive controls (but all key operations and majority of workers have been trained).  **Not all plant voulens are trained in preventive controls (but all key operations and majority of workers have been trained).  **Once of the proposeds have not been trained in their specific functions.  **Not compliance (topositis ) if:  **Not compliance (topositis ) if:  **Not all plant voulens are trained on the preventive controls (but all key operations and majority of workers have been trained).  **Not compliance (topositis ) if:  **Not compliance (topositis ) if:  **Not compliance (topositis ) if:  **Not compliance (topositis ) is:  **Not considered
Execution of the Preventive Controls Program	7.03.01	Do all of the documents noted in the preventive control plan accurately reflect plan requirements for the preventive				Question removed		
Execution of the	7.02.02	controls?	The monitoring records should show that testing frequency.	Total compliance (15 points): Preventive control monitoring activities and frequencies are in compliance		No change in v3.2	The monitoring records should show that testing frequency, parameters and any other	Total compliance (15 points): Preventive control monitoring activities and frequencies are in
Preventive Controls Program	7.03.03	calvivities and frequencies in compliance with the preventive control plans, charts, and procedurer?	parameters and any other details match what is written in the preventive control plans, charts, and procedures.	with what is written in the preventive control plans, charts, and procedures. Check current tops against the preventive control program. Audior should certailly device the monitoring frequencies — allow some slight variations (minutes either way of the target frequency). The critical limits should exactly match home mentioned on the preventive control programs. Note that is in an individual control plans should. It is not increasantly a fault (i.e., point fost) if it is not be spirit of the plans. More declinency (top (print)) if it is not to spirit of the plans. More declinency (top (print)) if it is not top (print) if it is not to spirit of the plans. When declinency (top (print)) if it is not top (print) it is not to print) it individuals although understand the basics of a preventive control program.		No change in v3.2	details match what is written in the preventive control plans, charts, and procedures. The records should should values or observations, be accurate and legitle, be real-line recording and have adequate detail.	compliance with what is written in the preventive control plans, charts, and procedures. Check current long against the preventive control program. Audior should carefully check the monitoring frequencies — allow some slight variations (intrudue either way of the target frequency). The critical limits should exactly midath hose enteriored on the preventive control program. Whe that if a monitoring less is successful midath hose enteriored on the preventive control program. The records and legiste, be real-time recording and have adequate detail. Monor deficiency (10 points) [1. Singlischolated Instances) in where in information or requirements on the records does not match what is noted in the preventive control program. "Animation instances where information or requirements on the records does not match what is noted in the preventive control program. "Animation instances where information or requirements on the records does not match what is noted in the preventive control program. "Animation instances where information or requirements on the records does not match what is noted in the preventive control program. "Micrograme fluids to have information or requirements on the records matching what is noted in the preventive control program. "Animation instances are preventive control program." Records are consistently being filled out incorrectly. "Goding instance what a preventive control Florids compliances (10 points)." Individuals should understand the basics of a preventive control program.
Preventive Controls Program		preventive control operations understand basis preventive control principles and their role in monitoring preventive controls?	program and how it applies to their operations, Individuals should have a good understanding of the details of the preventive control that they are directly involved with, including procedures, critical limits in the case from preventive count and accordance that they are considered to the control procedures. Auditor should interview operations to verify.	and how it applies to their operations, individuals should have a good understanding of the cleaks of the preventive controls that they are decilely involved with including procedure, critical limits in the case of process preventive controls and corrective action procedure. This can be determined through cascal which was a substantial of the control of the con			applies to their operations, Individuals should have a good understanding of the details of the preventive controls at their year effects, where with including procedures, parameters, critical limits in the case of process preventive controls and contective action procedures. Auditor should interview operators to verify.	and how it applies to their operations, individuals should have a good understanding of the details of the perventive controls that they are deceify involved with juddings procedure, parameters, critical initials in the case of process preventive controls, and convective action procedures. This can be districted in the control of the conformation in medium for which the control control of the conformation in medium for which we control of the conformation in medium for which we control of the conformation in medium for which we control of conformation in medium of the control of the conformation in medium of the control of the conformation in medium of the control of the conformation in medium of the preventive control of control of the control of the conformation of the control of the cont
Execution of the Preventive Controls Program	7.03.04	Are preventive control associated records signed off (or initialed) by the workers who are carrying out and recording the preventive control	Legifibly signed off records should be recorded in order to show who actually performed the preventive control monitoring activities. If initials are used, there should be a way to easily determine who the initials refer to.			No change in v3.2	Records should be legibly signed off in order to show who actually performed the preventive control monitoring activities. If initials are used, there should be a way to easily determine who the initials refer to.	No change in v3.2

Execution of the Directive and Control dealing documented corrective actions when a monitoring or welficiation step shows a deviation or control corrective actions should be detained presented control occurred. A control occurred and the control occurred and any preventiant was rectified and any preventiant was rectified and any preventiant was control occurred to season and the control program.  Note deficiency (10 points) if - Single instances (s) of corrective action(s) being record and the prevention occurred program. Major deficiency (5 points) if - Single instances (s) of corrective action(s) being record and the instances (s) of corrective action(s) being reco	Idevisions should be noted on a grown should be indeed on a proceeding of section from the process of the section of the secti
Controls Program  deviation or deficiency of a preventive control occurs?  In the scoreded, the incident should be recorded on a deviation record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive control record (or similar from, allow) with a shador record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive control record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive control record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive control record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive control record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive control record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, an orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive control or from preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive control or from preventive record (or similar from, and orded in the preventive control or from preventiv	ogam), stoud detail what has becomed on a devisition record (or similar form), along with actions taken in preventile control program), should detail what has becomed upon the product form the situation was received and any preventile actions taken to prevent the control program, should detail what has becoming with a largered be the affection product, how the situation was received and any preventile actions taken to prevent the control program), should detail what has becoming with a largered be and provided and preventile actions taken to prevent the control program), should detail what has becoming with a larger to product a date detail become the wind provided and preventile actions taken to prevent the control program). Should include with happened to any incommendation to be control to the situation was received and and the should mind what the described in the writing procedure (7.0 prints) if .  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  Single-included instance(s) of commelve action(s) being recorded action in the source action in the sou
control occurs?  record (or similar form), alony with actions taken. This includes recording with subspece to the efficient product, how the salary prevent was restlied and any preventaine actions taken to savid future similar issues in the future.  Story of the control occurrence, Records sould indicate with subspect to any sub- strained and any preventaine actions taken to savid future similar issues in the future.  Story of the control occurrence, and the control of program.  Story of the control, (10 points) if - Single insidead instances() of corrective action(s) being records toted in the preventive control critical limit breach not be similar insurance of control occurrence.  In the preventive control critical limit breach not be - Numerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Numerous instances of controller action(s) print precorded. but - Numerous instances of controller action(s) print precorded. but	actions laten to prevent eccording what happened be the affected product, not be elabation was rectified and any happened, and was done to correct the issue and any preventables actions laten to prevent preventables actions latent latent preventables actions latent latent preventables actions latent preventables actions latent latent latent preventables actions latent latent preventables actions latent latent preventables actions latent la
recording what happened to the affected product, how the situation recourses. Records should indicate what happened to any at the situation will be a situated and preventable actions taken to savoid future similar issues in the future.    In the contract of the contract	preventially exclores taken to avoid future similar issues in the future. This may include food cause analysis. Records should indicate what happened to any affected product and also dealth ow the process as rectified.  The corrective action deals is should make a server of the written procedure (7.62.09). Minor deficiency (10 points) (2 corrective action deals is should make a server of the written procedure (7.62.09). Minor deficiency (10 points) (2 corrective action) being recorded but lacking some details.  And meeting the requirements as an experiment of the server
was reclified and airy preventiative actions taken to avoid future similar issues in the future.  Story deficiency (10 points) it.   Story deficiency (10 po	is described in the preventive  foot cause analysis.  In contractive action delated product and also obtain from the process was rectified. The corrective action delate should match what is described in the written procedure (7.02.09).  If lacking some details. In contractive action delay of corrective action (s) being recorded but lacking some details. In contractive action (s) period instance(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded but lacking some details. In signification instance(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as noted in the written procedure.  In agree deficiency (5 points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the
was reclified and airy preventiative actions taken to avoid future similar issues in the future.  Story deficiency (10 points) it.   Story deficiency (10 po	is described in the preventive  foot cause analysis.  In contractive action delated product and also obtain from the process was rectified. The corrective action delate should match what is described in the written procedure (7.02.09).  If lacking some details. In contractive action delay of corrective action (s) being recorded but lacking some details. In contractive action (s) period instance(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded but lacking some details. In signification instance(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as noted in the written procedure.  In agree deficiency (5 points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points (if the procedure is action (s) points) if the procedure is action (s) points (if the
similar issues in the future.  Minor deficiency (10 points) it:  - Singleficiolated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being records - Singleficiolated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being records noted in the preventive control program.  - Singleficiolated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being records noted in the preventive control program.  - Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not be not being recorded.  - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded. but - Namerous instances of corrective actio	The corrective action details should match what is described in the written procedure (7.62.09).  Minor declicency (10 points) E.  - Single isolated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  - Single isolated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as a single isolated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements and the single instance of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements and the single instance of corrective actions and the single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.
Minor deficiency (10 points) if:  - Ringle-libolated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being records related in the preventive control program.  Major deficiency (5 points) if:  - Single instance (7 points) if:  - Single instance (7 points) if:  - White instance (8 points) if:  - White instance	Minor deficiency (10 points) if.  - Single-footable finaturacity of corrective action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  - In the meeting the requirements as  - Single-footable finaturacity of corrective action(s) being recorded but lacking some details.  - Single-footable finaturacity of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as noted in the written procedure.  Major deficiency (5 points) of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as noted in the written procedure.  Major deficiency (5 points) of corrective action(s) being recorded.  Najor deficiency (5 points) if:  - Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.
- Single-looked instance(s) of corrective action(s) being records - Single-looked instance(s) of corrective action(s) being record record in the preventive control program.  Major declinary (s) of corrective action(s) being record record in the preventive control program.  Major declinary (s) of control program.  - Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not be recorded.  - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but - Namerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded.	a lacking some details.  - Single-footable of instance(s) of connective action(s) being recorded but lacking some details Single-footable of instance(s) of connective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as noted in the written procedure.  Insign deficiency (5 points) sill Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.  The procedure of the
- Single-floated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being records - Single-floated instance(s) of corrective action(s) being record related in the preventive contral program.  Major declinency being single sing	a lacking some details.  - Single-footable of instance(s) of connective action(s) being recorded but lacking some details Single-footable of instance(s) of connective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as noted in the written procedure.  Insign deficiency (5 points) sill Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.  The procedure of the
Simplification of control washing to be a finitely and the preventive control program. Major defeates the preventive control program. Major defeates of preventive control critical limit breach not be "Simple entance of preventive control critical limit breach not be "Simple entance of preventive control critical limit breach not be "All preventive control control critical limit breach not be "All preventive control critical limit breach not be "All pr	- Single-included inflamence(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as sometiments as noted in the written procedure.  Single included inflamence(s) of corrective action(s) being recorded, but not meeting the requirements as noted in the written procedure.  Major deficiency (5 portes) if the procedure of the
oxided in the preventive control program.  Major declinancy hospital 2;  Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not be not being recorded.  Namerous instances of connective action(s) being recorded, but Namerous instances of connective action(s) being recorded, but Namerous instances of connective action(s) being recorded, but	as noted in the written procedure. Major reficiency (5 points) of:  - Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.  - Single instance of preventive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.
Major deficiency (5 points) is:  * Single interaccy (5 points) is:  * Single interaccy or preventive control critical limit breach not be not being increased.  **All the control of the c	Major deficiency (5 points) if:  * Single instance of pre-entive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.
Single sharmor of preventive control critical limit breach not be not being recorded.  Namerous instances of concertion action(s) being recorded, but the control critical limit being recorded, but the control critical scale (s) being recorded, but the Namerous instances of concertion action(s) being recorded, but the Namerous instances of concertion action(s) being recorded, but the Namerous instances of concertion action(s) being recorded, but the Namerous instances of concertion action (s) action (	Single instance of pre-entitive control critical limit breach not being recorded and/or corrective actions not being recorded.
not being recorded.  - Numerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but Numerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but Numerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but	not being recorded.
Numerious instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, bu     Numerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, bu	
<ul> <li>Numerous instances of corrective action(s) being recorded, but</li> </ul>	
in the preventive control program.	noted in the written procedure.
Non-compliance (0 points) if:	Non-compliance (0 points) if:
<ul> <li>More than one instance of preventive control critical limit breac</li> </ul>	
actions not being recorded.	actions not being recorded.
<ul> <li>Systematic failure to properly record corrective action details o</li> </ul>	
what is required by the preventive control program.	what is required by the written procedure.
Execution of the 7.03.06 Are the records associated with Records should be signed off by the designated person(s) Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should	viewed and signed off off by the Are the records associated with preventive Control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed, dated and signed off by the designated Total compliance (10 points): Preventive control records should be reviewed and the preventive (10 points).
Preventive preventive controls reviewed and signed responsible (i.e. qualified individual for preventive controls) for designated person(s) responsible (i.e. qualified individual for preventive control on organization of the designated person(s) responsible (i.e. qualified individual for preventive control on organization organi	
and/or management (second signatory)? The sign off should not be done by the same person who carried that are not running daily (auditor discretion applies). The sign of	
out the preventive control monitoring activities. If any issues are supervisor or manager (second signatory). This should be a sep	
detected, corrective actions should be recorded. control operator. The individual signing off should check the recorded.	
monitoring results, frequencies, corrective actions, use of correc	
basically stating that everything is in order relative to the written	
associated documents. If discrepancies are found, then the sign	
corrective actions that are then taken.	relative to the written preventive control program and associated documents. If discrepancies are
	found during the record review corrective actions must be taken and documented (7.03.05).
Minor deficiency (7 points) if:	
<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of preventive control records not re-</li> </ul>	
by the quality control supervisor or manager (second signatory).	<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of preventive control records not reviewed, dated and signed off within 7</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of the preventive control records be</li> </ul>	gred off by the second signatory working days by a PCQI e.g. quality control supervisor or manager (second signatory).
Major deficiency (3 points) if:	<ul> <li>Single/isolated instance(s) of the preventive control records being signed off by the second signatory</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Numerous instances of preventive control records not reviewer</li> </ul>	signed off within 7 days by the
quality control supervisor or manager (second signatory).	<ul> <li>Numerous instances of preventive control records not reviewed, dated and signed off within 7</li> </ul>
Numerous instances of the preventive control records being si	off by the second signatory but working days by a PCQL e.g. guality control supervisor or manager (second signatory).
there are issues with the records that have not been highlighted	<ul> <li>Numerous instances of the preventive control records being signed off by the second signatory but</li> </ul>
Non-compliance (0 points) if:	there are issues with the records that have not been highlighted.
<ul> <li>Systematic failure for preventive control records to be reviewed.</li> </ul>	
Systematic errors on the preventive control records that are be     Systematic errors on the preventive control records that are be	
Gysternation Cross Strate Development Control Costs and all the Strate	1 understand made for protein to control tooks to be fettered, and and any notice of the second management of the second